

INVERSE OF TILDE(T) AND MINUS PARTIAL ORDERING ON INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY MATRICES

G. Punithavalli

¹Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics Annamalai University (Deputed to Government Arts College, Chidambaram), punithavarman78@gmail.com

M.Anandhkumar

Assistant Professor, Department of Mathematics, IFET College of engineering(Autonomous), Villupuram, Tamilnadu, India, anandhkumarmm@gmail.com

Abstract: Aim of this article is to characterize the inverse or reverse T and minus orderings on Intuitionistic fuzzy matrices(IFM). Also, using the g- inverses, we discuss some Theorems and examples for the inverse or reverse T and minus ordering on IFM.

Keywords: Intuitionistic fuzzy matrices (IFM), Inverse or reverse T-ordering, Inverse or reverse minus ordering, g-inverse, Moore-penrose inverses.

1. INTRODUCTION : Let the IFM A of order m rows and n columns is in the form of $A = [y_{ij}, \langle a_{ij\alpha}, a_{ij\beta} \rangle]$, where $a_{ij\alpha}$ and $a_{ij\beta}$ are called the degree of membership and also the non-membership of y_{ij} in A , it preserving the condition $0 \leq a_{ij\alpha} + a_{ij\beta} \leq 1$. In intuitionistic fuzzy matrices, partial ordering is significant. The idea of fuzzy matrix was first presented by Thomosan [2] in 1977 and it has further developments by various researchers. Jian Miao Chen pioneered the partial orderings on fuzzy matrices, which are comparable to the star ordering on complex matrices [3]. After that, a lot of works have been done using this notion. A.R. Meenachi [1] characterizes the minus ordering on matrices in terms of their generalized inverses. Another novelty is the way she defines space ordering [6] on fuzzy matrices as a partial order on the set of all idempotent matrices in F_n . Partial ordering is a reflexive, anti-symmetric, transitive crisp binary relation $R(X, X)$ [5]. The properties of this class of relations are denoted by the common symbol \leq . Therefore, $\langle x, y \rangle$ represents $\langle x, y \rangle \in R$ and indicates that x comes before y . The symbol \geq [9] denotes the inverse partial ordering $R^{-1}(X, X)$. We say that y succeeds x if $y \leq x$ implying that $\langle x, y \rangle \in R^{-1}$. The symbols \leq^P , \leq^Q and \leq^R are used to denote the various partial orderings P , Q , and R , respectively. In this Section I, As an analogue to the star ordering on complex matrices, we start with the T inverse or reverse ordering on IFM. We explore different ordering on the IFM using a variety of generalized inverses, including g-inverse, group inverse, and Moore-penrose inverses, and we analyses how these ordering relate to T ordering[8]. We derive some equivalent conditions for each ordering by using generalized inverses[10]. Additionally, we demonstrate that these orderings are the same for a particular class of IFM. In section II we study the minus ordering for IFM as an analogue of minus ordering for complex matrix studied in [7] and as a generalization of T-ordering for IFM introduced. We show that the minus ordering is only a partial ordering in the

set of all regular fuzzy matrices. Finally, we characterize the minus ordering on matrix in terms of their generalized inverses.

2. INVERSE OR REVERSE T-ORDERING ON INTUITIONISTIC FUZZY MATRICES

Definition:2.1 For A, B belongs to $(IF)_{m \times n}$ the T-ordering $P \leq^T Q$ is well-defined as $P \leq^T Q \Leftrightarrow P^t P = P^t Q$ and $PP^t = QP^t$.

Definition:2.2 For A, B belongs to $(IF)_{m \times n}$ the T- Reverse (or) inverse ordering $P \geq^T Q$ is defined as $P \geq^T Q \Leftrightarrow Q^t Q = Q^t P$ and $QQ^t = PQ^t$.

Example:2.1 Let us consider, $P = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 0, 1 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}, Q = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$.

Theorem 2.1 . Let $P, Q \in (IF)_{m \times n}$ and Q^+ exists. Then the given conditions are equivalent.

- (i) $P \geq^T Q$
- (ii) $Q^+ Q = Q^+ P$ and $QQ^+ = PQ^+$
- (iii) $QQ^+ P = Q = PQ^+ Q$

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) ,By (i) We have $P \geq^T Q \Leftrightarrow Q^t Q = Q^t P$ and $QQ^t = PQ^t$
Then $Q^+ Q = Q^+ QQ^+ Q = Q^+ (Q^+)^t Q^t Q = Q^+ (Q^+)^t Q^t P = Q^+ Q Q^+ P = Q^+ P$
Similarly, $QQ^+ = PQ^+$

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) $Q^+ Q = Q^+ P$ implies $Q = QQ^+ Q = QQ^+ P$ and $QQ^+ = PQ^+$
implies $Q = QQ^+ Q = PQ^+ Q$

(iii) \Rightarrow (i) By $Q = QQ^+ P$, $(QQ^+)^t Q = (QQ^+)^t P$
Then, $Q^t (Q^+)^t Q^t Q = Q^t (Q^+)^t Q^t P$. Hence $Q^t Q = Q^t P$
Similarly, $QQ^t = PQ^t$ by $Q = PQ^+ Q$

Theorem 2.2 Let $P, Q \in (IF)_{m \times n}$ If P^+ and Q^+ both exists. Then the given conditions are equivalent.

- (i) $P \geq^T Q$
- (ii) $Q^+ Q = P^+ Q$ and $QQ^+ = QP^+$
- (iii) $P^+ QQ^+ = Q^+ = Q^+ QP^+$
- (iv) $Q^t Q P^+ = Q^t = P^+ Q Q^t$

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (iv) $Q^t Q = Q^t P$ implies $Q^t Q = Q^t P P^+ P$

Then $Q^t Q = (Q^t Q)^t = (P^+ P)^t (Q^t P)^t = P^+ P Q^t Q$

Hence, $Q^t Q Q^+ = P^+ P Q^t Q Q^+$ and $Q^t (QQ^+)^t = P^+ P Q^t (QQ^+)^t$

Therefore, $Q^t = P^+ P Q^t = P^+ Q Q^t$

Similarly, $Q^t = Q^t Q P^+$ by $QQ^t = PQ^t$

(iv) \Rightarrow (ii) By $Q^t = P^+ Q Q^t$, $Q^t (Q^+)^t = P^+ Q Q^t (Q^+)^t$

Then, $Q^+ Q = P^+ Q Q^+ Q = P^+ Q$

Similarly, $QQ^+ = QP^+$ and $Q^t = Q^tQP^+$

$$(ii) \Rightarrow (i) \quad Q^+Q = (Q^+Q)^t = (P^+Q)^t = (P^+PP^+Q)^t = (P^+Q)^t(P^+P)^t = (Q^+Q)^tP^+P = Q^+QP^+P = Q^+QQ^+P = Q^+P$$

Similarly, we have $QQ^+ = PQ^+$. Thus (i) holds by Theorem 2.1 (ii)

$$(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \quad \text{By } Q^+Q = P^+Q, Q^+ = Q^+QQ^+ = P^+QQ^+$$

Similarly, $QQ^+ = QP^+$ implies $Q^+ = Q^+QP^+$

$$(iii) \Rightarrow (ii) \quad P^+QQ^+ = Q^+ = Q^+QP^+ \text{ implies } Q^+Q = P^+QQ^+Q = P^+Q \text{ and } QQ^+ = QQ^+QP^+ = QP^+$$

Theorem 2.3 In $(IF)_{m \times n}^+$, the set of all IFM $P \in (IF)_{m \times n}^+$ for which P^+ exists \geq^T is a partial ordering.

Proof: $R \geq^T R$ obvious. If $R \geq^T Q, Q \geq^T R$ then $R = QR^+R, P = PP^+R$ by theorem 2.1 (iii). Thus, by Theorem 2.2(ii) $P = PP^+Q = PQ^+Q = Q$

If $R \geq^T Q, Q \geq^T P$ then $R = QR^+R$ and $Q = PQ^+Q$ by Theorem 2.1 (iii) and Theorem 2.2(ii), we have $R = QR^+R = PQ^+QR^+R = PQ^+R = PR^+$

Similarly, we have $R = RR^+P$. Thus, $R \geq^T P$ by Theorem 2.1 (iii)

Example:2.2 Let $Q = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 0,1 \rangle \\ \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}, P = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle \\ \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$. For $Q, QQ^+Q \neq Q$. Therefore

Q^+ does not exist. Here $Q \geq^T P$ and $P \geq^T Q$ but, $Q \neq P$. Thus \geq^T is not a partial ordering in $(IF)_{m \times n}^+$.

Theorem 2.4 If $P \geq^T Q$ then we have

- (i) $P^+Q = Q^+P$ and $QP^+ = PQ^+$
- (ii) $P^tQ = Q^tP$ and $PQ^t = QP^t$ (i.e) P^tQ and PQ^t are symmetric,
- (iii) $QP^+Q = Q = PP^+Q = PQP^+ = P^+QP, PQ^+Q = Q = QQ^+P = QPQ^+ = Q^+PQ$
- (iv) $PQ^tQ = QQ^tP = Q^tPQ = QPQ^t, QP^tP = PP^tQ = P^tQP = PQQ^t$

Theorem 2.5 If $P \geq^T Q$, then we have

- (i) $P^t \geq^T Q^t$
- (ii) $P^+ \geq^T Q^+$
- (iii) $P^tQ \geq^T P^tP, QP^t \geq^T QQ^t$
- (iv) $P^+Q \geq^T P^+P, QP^+ \geq^T PP^+$
- (v) $P^tP \geq^T Q^tQ, PP^t \geq^T QQ^t$
- (vi) $P^+P \geq^T Q^+Q, PP^+ \geq^T QQ^+$
- (vii) If $P^tP^+ = P^+P^t$ then $Q^tQ^+ = Q^+Q^t$

- (viii) if $P^+ = P^t$ then $Q^+ = Q^t$
- (ix) if $P^2=0$ then $Q^2=0$
- (x) if $P=P^2$ then $Q=Q^2$
- (xi) if $P = PP^T$ then $Q = QQ^t$
- (xii) if $P = P^T = P^3$ and $Q=Q^t$ then $Q = Q^3$

Proof: (i) and (ii) hold clearly.

$$\text{iii. } (P^t Q)^t P^t Q = Q^t P P^t Q = Q^t Q Q^t Q = Q^t Q Q^t P = Q^t Q P^t P = Q^t P P^t P$$

Similarly, $P^t Q(Q^t Q)^t = P^t P(P^t Q)^t$, Thus $P^t Q \geq^T P^t P$.

Similarly, we have, $Q P^t \geq^T P P^t$

$$\text{iv. } (P^+ Q)^t P^+ Q = (Q^+ Q)^t Q^+ Q = Q^t (Q^+)^t Q^+ Q = Q^t (Q^+)^t Q^+ P = Q^t (Q^+)^t P^+ P = (Q^+ Q)^t P^+ P \\ = (P^+ Q)^t P^+ P \text{ and } P^+ Q(P^+ Q)^t = P^+ P(P^+ Q)^t. \text{ Thus } P^+ Q \geq^T P^+ P$$

Similarly we have $Q P^+ \geq^T P P^+$

$$\text{v. } P^t P \geq^T Q^t Q, P P^t \geq^T Q Q^t$$

$$(Q^t Q)^t Q^t Q = Q^t Q Q^t P = Q^t Q P^t P = (Q^t Q)^t P^t P \text{ and } Q^t Q(Q^t Q)^t = P^t P(Q^t Q)^t \\ P^t P \geq^T Q^t Q$$

Similarly, $P P^t \geq^T Q Q^t$

$$\text{vi. } P^+ P \geq^T Q^+ Q, P P^+ \geq^T Q Q^+$$

$$(Q^+ Q)^t P^+ P = Q^t (Q^+)^t P^+ P = Q^t (Q^+)^t Q^+ P = Q^t (Q^+)^t Q^+ Q = (Q^+ Q)^t Q^+ Q$$

$$\text{and } P^+ P(Q^+ Q)^t = Q^+ Q(Q^+ Q)^t \quad (Q^t Q = Q^t P \text{ and } Q Q^t = P Q^t)$$

$$\text{vii. If } P^t P^+ = P^+ P^t \text{ then } Q^t Q^+ = Q^+ Q^t$$

$$Q^t Q^+ = Q^+ Q P^t P^+ Q Q^+ = Q^+ Q P^t P^+ Q Q^+ = Q^+ Q^t$$

$$\text{viii. if } P^+ = P^t \text{ then } Q^+ = Q^t$$

$$Q^+ = Q^+ Q Q^+ = P^+ Q Q^+ = P^+ Q P^+ = P^+ Q P^t = P^+ Q Q^t = Q^t$$

$$\text{ix. if } P^2=0 \text{ then } Q^2=0$$

$$Q^2 = Q P^+ P P P^+ Q = Q P^+ P^2 P^+ Q = 0$$

$$\text{x. if } P = P^2 \text{ then } Q = Q^2$$

$$Q^2 = Q P^+ P P P^+ Q = Q P^+ P P^+ Q = Q P^+ Q = Q Q^+ Q = Q$$

$$\text{xi. if } P = P P^t \text{ then } Q = Q Q^t$$

By $P = P P^t$, we have $P^t = P$ and $P^+ = P$

$$\text{Then } Q Q^t = P Q^t = P P^t Q = P P Q^t = P Q Q^t = P^+ Q Q^t = Q^t = Q$$

$$\text{xii. } Q^3 = Q Q^t Q = P Q^t Q Q^+ P = P P^t Q Q^+ P = P P^t P Q^+ P = P Q^+ P = P P^+ Q = Q$$

3. INVERSE OR REVERSE MINUS ORDERING ON IFM

Definition:3.1 For $P \in (IF)_{m,n}^-$ and $Q \in (IF)_{m \times n}$ the inverse or Reverse minus ordering as \geq is defined as $P \geq Q \Leftrightarrow Q^-Q = Q^-P$ and $QQ^- = PQ^-$ for some $Q^- \in Q\{1\}$

To specify the minus ordering with respect to particular g-inverse of P, let us write $P \geq Q$ with respect to $X \Leftrightarrow XQ = XP$ and $QX = PX$ for $X \in Q\{1\}$.

Remark :3.1 For $Q \in (IF)_{m,n}^-$ and $P \in (IF)_{m \times n}$ if Q^+ exists, then Q^+ is unique and $Q^+ = Q^T$ we have, $P \overset{T}{\geq} Q \Leftrightarrow P \geq Q$ with respect to $Q^+ \Leftrightarrow Q^+Q = Q^+P$ and $QQ^+ = PQ^+$, which is precisely Definition 2.1 of T-ordering. Thus T-ordering is a special case of minus ordering. However the converse $P \geq Q \Rightarrow P \overset{T}{\geq} Q$ need not be true.

Example:3.1 Let us consider, $P = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0, 0 \rangle & \langle 0, 0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$, $Q = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 0, 0 \rangle & \langle 0, 0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$. Since Q^+ is a g-inverse of Q, Q^+ exist and $Q^+ = Q^t$ also Q is idempotent, Q itself is a g-inverse of Q, $Q = QP = PQ$ implies $P \geq Q$ with respect to Q. $Q^+Q \neq Q^+P$ and $QQ^+ \neq PQ^+$. Hence $P \geq Q$ not implies $P \overset{T}{\geq} Q$.

Theorem:3.1 For $Q \in (IF)_{m,n}^-$ and $P \in (IF)_{m \times n}$ the given conditions are equivalent

- (i) $P \geq Q$
- (ii) $Q = QQ^-P = PQ^-Q = PQ^-P$

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii)

$$P \geq Q \Leftrightarrow Q^-Q = Q^-P \text{ and } QQ^- = PQ^- \text{ for some } Q^- \in Q\{1\}$$

$$\text{Now, } Q = Q(Q^-Q) = QQ^-P$$

$$Q = (QQ^-)Q = PQ^-Q$$

$$Q = P(Q^-Q) = PQ^-P$$

(ii) \Rightarrow (i)

$$\text{Let } X = Q^-QQ^-$$

$$QXQ = Q(Q^-QQ^-)Q = (QQ^-Q)Q^-Q = Q \Rightarrow X \in Q\{1\}$$

$$\text{Now, } XQ = (Q^-QQ^-)QQ^-P$$

$$= Q^-(QQ^-Q)Q^-P$$

$$= (Q^- Q Q^-) P \\ = XP$$

Similarly, $QX = PX$

Hence $P \geq Q$ with respect to $X \in Q\{1\}$

Remark :3.2 In general, in the definition of minus ordering $P \geq Q$, P need not be regular. This is illustrated in the following example.

Example:3.2 Let us consider

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 0,0 \rangle \\ \langle 0,0 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle \\ \langle 0,0 \rangle & \langle 0,0 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}, Q = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle \\ \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle \\ \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

Since Q is idempotent, Q is regular and Q itself is a g -inverse of Q . Here $Q = QP = PQ$. Hence $P \geq Q$ which implies $Q = Q^- \in Q\{1\}$. If P is not regular, since there is no $X \in F_3$ such that $PXP = P$

Theorem:3.2 Let $P, Q \in (IF)_{m,n}^-$. If $P \geq Q$, then $P\{1\} \subseteq Q\{1\}$

Proof: $P \geq Q \Rightarrow Q = QQ^-P = PQ^-Q$

For, $P^- \in P\{1\}$

$$QP^-Q = (QQ^-P)P^-(PQ^-Q)$$

$$QP^-Q = QQ^-(PP^-P)Q^-Q$$

$$= (QQ^-P)Q^-Q = QQ^-Q = Q$$

Hence, $QP^-Q = Q$ for each $P^- \in P\{1\}$

Therefore, $P\{1\} \subseteq Q\{1\}$

Theorem:3.3 If $P \geq Q$ and Q is idempotent then Q is a g -inverse of P .

Proof. Let P itself is a g -inverse of P then P is regular, P is idempotent. Here $P \in P\{1\}$. Then by above property $P\{1\} \subseteq Q\{1\}$. Hence P is a g -inverse of Q .

Example.3.3 Let us Consider

$$P = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle \\ \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 0,1 \rangle \end{bmatrix}, Q = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle \\ \langle 0.5,0.5 \rangle & \langle 0,1 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$$

P is not idempotent.

$$Q\{1\} = \left\{ X : X = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle \beta,0 \rangle \\ \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle \alpha,0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}, 0.5 \leq \beta \leq 1, \text{ and } 0 \leq \alpha \leq 1 \right\}$$

Here, $P \geq Q$ for

$$Q = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0,1 \rangle & \langle 0.5,0 \rangle \\ \langle 1,0 \rangle & \langle 1,0 \rangle \end{bmatrix} \text{ but } P \notin Q\{1\}$$

Theorem 3.3 For $P, Q \in (IF)_{m,n}^-$ then the given conditions are equivalent

- (i) $P \geq Q$
- (ii) $Q = QP^-P = PP^-Q = QP^-Q$ for all $P^- \in P\{1\}$
- (iii) $R(Q) \subseteq R(P), C(Q) \subseteq C(P)$ and $QP^-Q = Q$

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii): $Q = PQ^-P$ (By theorem 3.1)
 $= PQ^-(PP^-P)$
 $= (PQ^-P)P^-P$
 $= QP^-P$ (By theorem 3.1)

Therefore, $Q = QP^-P$ for each $P^- \in P\{1\}$

Similarly, we have $Q = PP^-Q$ for each $P^- \in P\{1\}$

Also, $Q = QP^-Q$ (By theorem 3.1)

(ii) \Rightarrow (iii): $Q = QP^-P = PP^-Q = QP^-Q$ for all $P^- \in P\{1\}$

$Q = QP^-P$ for all $P^- \in P\{1\}$

$Q = XPP^-P, \quad Q = XP$

$Q = XP \Leftrightarrow R(Q) \subseteq R(P)$

$Q = PP^-Q$ for all $P^- \in P\{1\}$

$Q = PP^-PY$

$Q = PY \Leftrightarrow C(Q) \subseteq C(P),$

(iii) \Rightarrow (i): Let $X = P^-QP^-$

$QXQ = Q(P^-QP^-)Q$

$QXQ = (QP^-Q)P^-Q$

$= QP^-Q = Q \Rightarrow X \in Q\{1\}$

Now, $QX = Q(P^-QP^-)$

$= PP^-Q(P^-QP^-)$

$= PP^-(QP^-Q)P^-$

$= PP^-QP^-$

$= PX$

Similarly, $XQ = XP$ and $QP^-Q = Q$

Hence $P \geq Q$ with respect to $X \in Q\{1\}$

Theorem 3.4 For $(IF)_{m,n}^-$ the minus ordering \geq is a partial ordering.

Proof: (i) $R \geq R$ is obvious. Hence \geq is reflexive.

ii. $R \geq Q \Rightarrow R = QR^-Q$

$$\begin{aligned}
 Q \geq R &\Rightarrow Q = QQ^-R = RQ^-Q \\
 R &= QR^-Q \\
 &= (QQ^-R)R^- (RQ^-Q) \\
 &= QQ^- (RR^-R)Q^-Q \\
 &= QQ^- (RQ^-Q) \\
 &= QQ^-Q \\
 &= Q
 \end{aligned}$$

Thus, $R \geq Q$ and $Q \geq R \Rightarrow R = Q$. Hence \geq is antisymmetric.

iii. $R \geq Q \Rightarrow R = RQ^-A$ and $R = RQ^-Q = QQ^-R$

$$Q \geq P \Rightarrow Q = QQ^-P = PQ^-Q$$

Let $X = Q^-RQ^-$. Then $RXR = R(Q^-RQ^-)R = (RQ^-R)Q^-R = RQ^-R = R$

Since, $R \geq Q$ and $Q \geq P$ Applying Theorem 3.2 repeatedly, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 RX &= R(Q^-RQ^-) \\
 &= QQ^-R(Q^-RQ^-) \\
 &= QQ^- (RQ^-R)Q^- \\
 &= QQ^-RQ^- \\
 &= (PQ^-Q)Q^-RQ^- \\
 &= PQ^- (QQ^-R)Q^- \\
 &= P(Q^-RQ^-) \\
 &= PX
 \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, $XR=XP$. Since $X \in R\{1\}$ with $RX = PX$ and $XR=XP$ it follows that, $R \geq P$.

Theorem 3.5 For $P \in (IF)_{m,n}^-$ and $Q \in (IF)_{m \times n}$ the given conditions are equivalent

- (i) $P \geq Q \Leftrightarrow P^t \geq Q^t$
- (ii) $P \geq Q \Leftrightarrow RPS \geq RQS$ for some invertible matrices R and S

Proof: $P \geq Q \Leftrightarrow QQ^- = PQ^-$ and $Q^-Q = Q^-P$

$$\Leftrightarrow (QQ^-)^t = (PQ^-)^t$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (Q^-)^t Q^t = (Q^-)^t P^t$$

$$\Leftrightarrow (Q^t)^- Q^t = (Q^t)^- P^t$$

$$QQ^- = PQ^- \Leftrightarrow (Q^t)^- Q^t = (Q^t)^- P^t$$

$$\text{Similarly, } Q^-Q = Q^-P \Leftrightarrow Q^t (Q^t)^- = P^t (Q^t)^-$$

Hence, $P \geq Q \Leftrightarrow P^t \geq Q^t$

(ii) $P \geq Q \Leftrightarrow RPS \geq RQS$ for some invertible matrices R and S

$$P \geq Q \Leftrightarrow QQ^- = PQ^- \text{ and } Q^-Q = Q^-P$$

Since P is regular which implies RPS is also regular and $S^tP^-R^t$ a g-inverse of RPS

$$(RQS)^-(RQS) = (S^tQ^-R^t)SQR$$

$$(RQS)^-(RQS) = S^tQ^-1(R^tR)QS$$

$$(RQS)^-(RQ) = S^t(Q^-1Q)S$$

$$(PDQ)^-(PDQ) = S^t(Q^-1P)S$$

$$(PDQ)^-(PDQ) = (S^tQ^-1R^t)(RPS)$$

$$(PDQ)^-(PDQ) = (RQS)^-(RPS)$$

$$\text{Similarly, } (RQS)(RQS)^- = (RPS)(RQS)^-$$

$$\text{Hence, } P \geq Q \Rightarrow (RPS) \geq (RQS)$$

$$\text{Conversly, } (RPS) \geq (RQS) \Rightarrow R^t(RPS)S^t \geq R^t(RQS)S^t$$

$$\Rightarrow P \geq Q$$

Corollary:3.1 For $P, Q \in (IF)_{m,n}^+$, $P \geq Q$ with respect to $P^+ \Leftrightarrow P^+ \geq Q^+$ with respect to C.

Theorem 3.6 For $P \in (IF)_{m,n}^-$ and $Q \in (IF)_{m \times n}$ with $P \geq Q$

$$(i) \quad \text{If } P = P^2, \text{ then } Q = Q^2$$

$$(ii) \quad \text{If } P^2 = 0, \text{ then } Q^2 = 0$$

Proof: $Q^2 = QQ$

$$= (QQ^-P)(PQ^-Q)$$

$$= QQ^-P^2Q^-Q$$

$$= (QQ^-P)Q^-Q$$

$$= QQ^-Q = Q$$

$$Q^2 = QQ = (QQ^-P)(PQ^-Q) = QQ^-P^2Q^-Q = 0$$

Remark:3.3 In the above Theorem 3.1 if $P \geq Q$ with Q idempotent then P need not be

idempotent .Consider $P = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 0, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 0, 0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}, Q = \begin{bmatrix} \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \\ \langle 1, 0 \rangle & \langle 1, 0 \rangle \end{bmatrix}$. Here $P \geq Q$ with

respect to $Q^- = Q$.But P is not idempotent.

Theorem 3.7 For $P, Q \in (IF)_{m,n}^+$, $P \geq Q \Leftrightarrow P^t \geq Q^t$ and $PQ^+P = Q$

Proof: $P \geq Q$ and by remark (3.1) it follows that $P \geq Q$ and $QQ^+B = Q \Rightarrow Q = PQ^+P$

Conversely: if $P \geq Q$ by Theorem 3.3 $Q = QP^-Q$ for all $P^- \in P\{1\}$. Since $P \in (IF)_{m,n}^+$ P^+ exist and $P^+ = P^+$ is a g-inverse of P, hence $Q = QP^+Q = QP^+Q$

Now, $QQ^t = Q(PQ^+P)^t$

$$QQ^t = QP^tQP^t$$

$$= (QP^tQ)P^t$$

$$QQ^t = QP^t$$

$$\text{Thus, } QQ^t = QP^t \Rightarrow QQ^t = PQ^t \quad (\text{Taking transpose on both sides})$$

Similarly, we have $Q^tQ = Q^tP$

Hence, $P \geq Q$

Theorem 3.8 For $P, Q \in (IF)_{m,n}^+$ the following conditions are equivalent

i. $P \geq Q$ with respect to $Q^+ \left(P \geq Q \right)$

ii. $P \in Q^+ \{1, 3, 4\}$

iii. $P^+ \in Q \{1, 3, 4\}$

Proof: (i) \Rightarrow (ii) $P \geq Q$ with respect to $Q^+ \Rightarrow Q^+Q = Q^+P$ and $QQ^+ = PQ^+$

$$\text{Now, } Q^+ = Q^+QQ^+ = Q^+PQ^+ \Rightarrow P \in Q^+ \{1\}$$

$$(Q^+P)^t = (Q^+Q)^t = Q^+Q = Q^+P \Rightarrow P \in Q^+ \{3\}$$

$$(PQ^+)^t = (QQ^+)^t = QQ^+ = PQ^+ \Rightarrow P \in Q^+ \{4\}$$

$$(ii) \Rightarrow (iii) \text{ Since } Q^+ = Q^t \text{ and } P^+ = P^t, \text{ We have, } P \in Q^+ \{1, 3, 4\} \Rightarrow P^+ \in Q \{1, 3, 4\}$$

$$(iii) \Rightarrow (i) P^+ \in Q \{1, 3, 4\} \Rightarrow QP^+Q = Q, (QP^+)^t = QP^+ = PQ^+ \text{ and } (P^+Q)^t = P^+Q = Q^+P$$

$$Q^+Q = Q^+Q(P^+Q) = (Q^+QQ^+)P = Q^+P$$

$$QQ^+ = (QP^+Q)Q^+ = (QP^+)QQ^+ = PQ^+QQ^+ = PQ^+$$

Hence $P \geq Q$ with respect to Q^+

Theorem 3.9 For $P, Q, R \in (IF)_{m,n}^+, R \in P\{2\}$ and $Q \geq R$ then $Q \in P\{2\}$.

Proof:

$$Q \geq R \Rightarrow RR^-Q = QR^-R = QR^-Q = Q$$

$$QPQ = (QR^-R)P(RR^-Q)$$

$$= QR^-(RPR)R^-Q$$

$$\begin{aligned} &= QR^-(RR^-Q) \\ &= QR^-Q \\ &= Q \end{aligned}$$

Hence, $Q \in P\{2\}$.

Conclusion:

We derive some equivalent conditions for each ordering by using generalized inverses. Additionally, we demonstrate that these orderings are the same for a particular class of IFM. we study the minus ordering for IFM as an analogue of minus ordering for complex matrix studied and as a generalization of T- ordering for IFM introduced . We show that the minus ordering is only a partial ordering in the set of all regular fuzzy matrices. Finally, we characterize the minus ordering on matrix in terms of their generalized inverses.

REFERENCES

- [1] Meenakshi.A.R., and Inbam.C, The minus partial order in Fuzzy matrices , The Journal of Fuzzy matrices, Vol.12 (3) (2004),PP 695 - 700.
- [2] M.G. Thomason, Convergence of posets of a fuzzy matrix , Journal of Mathl.Anal. Appl., Vol 57 (1977), PP 3 - 15.
- [3] Jian Miao Chen (1982), Fuzzy matrix partial orderings and generalized inverses, Fuzzy sets sys 105 : 453 – 458.
- [4] Kim J.B., Idempotents and inverses in Fuzzy matrices , Malaysian Math 6(2) , 1983, 57 – 61.
- [5] George J. Klir and Bo yuan,Fuzzy sets and Fuzzy logic , PHI, 2013
- [6] Meenakshi.A.R., Fuzzy matrix – Theory and its applications , MJP Publishers (2008)
- [7] Mitra S.K(1986)''The minus partial ordering and shortest matrix'' J.Lin.Alg.Appl.83:1-27
- [8] G.Punithavalli., The Partial Orderings of m-Symmetric Fuzzy Matrices,International journal of Mathematics Trends and Technology, vol 66 issue 4-April 2020.
- [9] G. Punithavalli and M.Anandhkumar'' Partial Orderings On K-Idempotent Intuitionistic Fuzzy Matrices'' ISSN: 2096-3246 Volume 54, Issue 02, November, 2022.
- [10]S. Sriram and P. Murugadas'' The Moore-Penrose Inverse of Intuitionistic Fuzzy Matrices'' Int. Journal of Math. Analysis, Vol. 4, 2010, no. 36, 1779 – 1786.