Volume 25 Issue 04, 2022

ISSN: 1005-3026

https://dbdxxb.cn/

Original Research Paper

COMPARISION OF SALIVARY FLOW RATE AND ORAL HEALTH BETWEEN TOBACCO CHEWERS, SMOKERS AND HEALTHY CONTROLS

Ashwin Kumar. R

Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai 77, Tamil Nadu, India, Email: 151701048.sdc@saveetha.com

Dr. Sangavi .R,

Senior lecturer, Department of oral medicine, Radiology and Special care dentistry. Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha University, Chennai 77, Tamil Nadu, India Email ID: sangavir.sdc@saveetha.com

Dr. Adimulapu Hima Sandeep

Associate Professor, Department of conservative and endodontics, Saveetha Dental College and Hospitals, Saveetha Institute of Medical and Technical Sciences, Saveetha university, Chennai – 600077, Tamil Nadu, India, Email Id: himas.sdc@saveetha.com

ABSTRACT

INTRODUCTION: Side effects of cigarete smoking are among the major concerns. These complications can adversely affect the oral environment. Since reduced salivary flow rate increases the incidence of tooth decay and other dental and oral problems, the present research aimed to investigate the relationship between cigarette smoking and salivary flow rate.

MATERIALS AND METHODS: This analytical cross-sectional study which was conducted on patients reporting to the Outpatient Department of Oral Medicine department saveetha dental college. An ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Ethical Committee A total of 100 patients satisfying the inclusion and exclusion criteria were included in the study.

RESULTS AND CONCLUSION: Based on the results of the present study, it is concluded that salivary flow rate was significantly reduced in smokers and tobacco chewers when compared to healthy indivudals. It was observed that the salivary flow rate was reduced with increase in the duration and frequency of the smoking habit. Reduced salivary flow rate has a significant impact on oral health and may further lead to several oral complications. Therefore, measures should be taken by counseling the smokers to quit the habit and educating them about the ill-effects of xerostomia.

KEYWORDS: smoking, Tobacco, xerostomia, salivary flow rate

INTRODUCTION

Saliva is a clear mucoserous fluid, secreted by major and minor salivary glands of oral cavity. Appropriate ecological balance of oral health is maintained by salivary functions such as lubrication, protection, buffering action and pH balance, tooth integrity maintenance, taste sensation digestion^[1] and antibacterial activity through antimicrobial peptides. The source of saliva, location and anatomy of salivary glands has an impact on salivary flow rate in relation to localised and systemic disease. It is widely used in diagnosis of various oral and systemic conditions as it is easily accessible, reliable and non-invasive diagnostic medium ^[2]

Xerostomia, a condition in which saliva is se-creted in reduced amounts, is an annoying condition that may have a life-long duration. It can cause complications such as dysphagia, increased risk of candida infection, dysgeusia, impaired nutritional status, tongue papillae atrophy, lobulated tongue, halitosis and cervical tooth decay.^[3]

The most common causes of xerostomia are salivary gland atrophy, usage of certain drugs, head and neck radiotherapy, Sjögren's syndrome and systemic diseases.^[4] smoking is one of the most important risk factors for developing oral lesions, tooth discoloration, halitosis, hairy tongue, increased calculi, periodontal diseases, mouth dryness, and oropharyngeal and respiratory cancers.^[5] One-third of the adult population is comprised of smokers^[6]. The number of cigarette smokers is declining, but the frequency is increased in those who do smoke^[7]. As of now, smoking is known to be one of the main risk factors for developing numerous oral conditions like tooth discoloration, mouth dryness, oral lesions, halitosis, increased calculi, periodontal diseases, hairy tongue, and oropharyngeal and respiratory cancers^[8].

Saliva being the first biological fluid exposed to cigarette smoke, which consists of numerous toxins, causes structural and function changes in saliva^[9]. It is known that smoking tobacco influences general and oral health. All in all, oral and dental problems can substantially influence a person's quality of life by undermining his/her physical performance and social performance^[10].

The use of tobacco is well-known to affect the oral health. The active ingredient of tobacco is nicotine which stimulates cholinergic receptors in brain and other organs which results in neural activation leading to altered salivary secretion.Widely consumed chewable form of tobacco is the areca nut. Adverse effects of areca nut includes attrition, staining, caries, periodontal diseases, lichenoid reactions, burning sensation in oral mucosa, oral sub-mucous fibrosis, oral leukoplakia and oral squamous cell carcinoma.15Saliva is the first fluid that gets exposed to tobacco whether smoked or smokeless form.^[11]

The aim of this study is to compare the salivary flow rate and oral health between tobacco chewers, smokers and healthy controls

MATERIALS AND METHODS

This analytical cross-sectional study which was conducted on patients reporting to the Outpatient Department of Oral Medicine department saveetha dental college. An ethical clearance was obtained from the Institutional Ethical Committee A total of 100 patients satisfying the inclusion and exclusion criteria were included in the study.

Study group: 25 cigarette smokers and 25 tobacco chewers aged between 18 to 49 years Control group: 25 systemically healthy non smokers and non tobacco chewers aged between 18 to 49 years.

The selected participants were explained about the study and those participants who voluntarily signed the informed consent were recalled As per their suitable day between 9:00 am to 12:00 pm to avoid diurnal variation. They were asked to refrain from smoking, eating, drinking, or brushing their teeth for atleast 2 hours prior to the collection. Stimulated saliva represents the secretion during physiologic stimulation and is present in the oral cavity for about 2 hours whereas unstimulated saliva represents basal salivary flow rate that is present for up to 14 hours a day and is responsible for maintaining the integrity of oral tissues. Therefore, measuring unstimulated salivary secretionis a precise method to analyse SFR.

During sample collection, the participants were seated in a comfortable upright position on the dental chair. The participants were asked to swallow once to clear salivary secretions that were already present in the mouth to avoid inaccuracy and not to swallow during the test. The participants were asked to spit into a sterile plastic container every 1 minute for 5 minutes ^{[9].} After collection, the salivary flow rate was measured using a graduated glass tube. The average SFR was obtained by dividing the total SFR collected by 5 and was expressed in mL/minute. The salivary flow rate of smokers ,tobacco chewers and healhy indivudals were measured and compared. A comparison of SFR with duration and frequency of smoking habit and tobacco chewing was performed for the study group, the data for which was recorded and tabulated followed by which statistical analysis as done.

RESULTS:





 $\label{eq:copyright} @ 2022. \ Journal of Northeastern University. \ Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial No Derivatives (by-nc-nd). \ Available at https://dbdxxb.cn/$

4665

HEALHY INDIVUDALS



DISCUSSION:

The aim of this study was to evaluate the salivary flow rate in tobacco chewers, smokers and systemically healthy individuals. Dawes C, reported that the flow of unstimulated whole saliva showed a very marked circadian rhythm^[12]. Humans exhibits diurnal rhythms, in which the volume of salivary secretion increases during the day in the active phase and decreases at night in the resting phase. The presence of this diurnal variation impacts the normal values of SFR and therefore the time of sample collection would have a high effect on the results.

Literature suggests that saliva samples should be collected at the beginning of the working day which is a time when unstimulated SFR shows the most rapid rate of change^[13]. Therefore, the collection of unstimulated saliva samples was performed in the morning hours to maintain uniformity and avoid diurnal variation. Study by Rad M et al., showed salivary flow rate of $(0.38\pm0.13 \text{ mL/min})$ but on comparison of salivary flow rate in both the groups, it was observed that the mean salivary flow rate in smokers was $0.37\pm0.17 \text{ mL/min}$ and $0.6\pm0.14 \text{ mL/min}$ in non smokers with a statistically significant difference (p-value <0.001). Thus, it was observed that the SFR was significantly reduced in smokers than in non smokers.

Similar results were reported by Rad M et al., where they investigated the effects of long-term smoking on salivary flow rate on 200 participants in which the mean \pm SD level of SFR was found to be 0.38 \pm 0.13 mL/min in smokers and 0.56 \pm 0.16 mL/min in nonsmokers^[14]. These results are in conformity with the present study. On the contrary, Khan KJ et al., observed that long-term smoking did not adversely affect salivary reflex and salivation in which the mean unstimulated salivary flow rate of the control group (0.44 \pm 0.04 mL/min) and smoker group (0.49 \pm 0.05 mL/min) did not show much,and no statistically significant difference was observed when the smokers were compared with controls.⁽¹⁾

It is presumed that the heat generated by tobacco smoking affects the blood flow of the mouth over a period decreasing the blood supply and in due course reduces the SFR^[16]. Immunoglobulins like IgA along with few other defensive agents in the blood are also altered and reduced in levels due to smoking^[17,18]. The salivary parenchyma is affected by the toxins present in cigarettes which subsequently leads to impairment of the functioning of the salivary glands. Carbon monoxide, one of the leading noxious gases in cigarettes, is responsible in reducing the SFR which causes breakdown of vitamin A and thus leads to restriction of the blood(2)flow along with a reduction in bicarbonate ions ^[19]. Also, the nicotine present in cigarettes cause variations in the autonomic nervous system by increasing plasma levels of epinephrine and norepinephrine which may result in reduced flow rates while Kanwar A et al., and Sankepalli S et al., suggested that the decrease in SFR among study subjects is presumably because of the effect of nicotine on the taste nerve receptors¹.⁽³⁾

Some studies have indicated that cigarette smoking would initially cause an apparent transient increase in SFR due to increased action of salivary glands in individuals who begin smoking, but with continued use it has been noticed that some individuals develop a tolerance to the effect of smoking on saliva, and hence it reduces SFR. On comparison of frequency of smoking with salivary flow rate. Our team has extensive knowledge and research experience that has translate into high quality publications^(4–13)

CONCLUSION:

Based on the results of the present study, it is concluded that salivary flow rate was significantly reduced in smokers and tobacco chewers when compared to healthy indivudals. It was observed that the salivary flow rate was reduced with increase in the duration and frequency of the smoking habit. Reduced salivary flow rate has a significant impact on oral health and may further lead to several oral complications. Therefore, measures should be taken by counseling the smokers to quit the habit and educating them about the ill-effects of xerostomia.

REFERENCE

 Ranjan M, Hemmanur S. Adimulapu Hima Sandeep. Survival Rate Of Endodontically Treated Teeth With Custom Made Cast Post-A Systematic Review. Int J Dentistry Oral Sci [Internet]. 2021;8(05):2574–80. Available from:

Copyright © 2022. Journal of Northeastern University. Licensed under the Creative Commons Attribution Noncommercial No Derivatives (by-nc-nd). Available at https://dbdxxb.cn/ https://www.academia.edu/download/73042974/IJDOS_2377_8075_08_5044.pdf

- Behera. A K, Sandeep AH, S, HARIPRIYA. Assessment of knowledge, attitude and practice based survey towards successful restorations of composite among practitioners. J contemp issues bus gov [Internet]. 2021 Feb 2 [cited 2022 Dec 16];27(02):352–64. Available from: https://www.cibgp.com/article 8147.html
- Behera K. Adimulapu Hima Sandeep. Dynamic Navigation System-A current Breakthrough in Dentistry. Int J Dentistry Oral Sci [Internet]. 2021;8(5):2910–2. Available from: https://www.academia.edu/download/73115497/IJDOS 2377 8075 08 6044.pdf
- 4. Wadhwa R, Paudel KR, Chin LH, Hon CM, Madheswaran T, Gupta G, et al. Antiinflammatory and anticancer activities of Naringenin-loaded liquid crystalline nanoparticles in vitro. J Food Biochem [Internet]. 2021 Jan;45(1):e13572. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jfbc.13572
- Reddy P, Krithikadatta J, Srinivasan V, Raghu S, Velumurugan N. Dental Caries Profile and Associated Risk Factors Among Adolescent School Children in an Urban South-Indian City. Oral Health Prev Dent [Internet]. 2020 Apr 1;18(1):379–86. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.3290/j.ohpd.a43368
- Eapen BV, Baig MF, Avinash S. An Assessment of the Incidence of Prolonged Postoperative Bleeding After Dental Extraction Among Patients on Uninterrupted Low Dose Aspirin Therapy and to Evaluate the Need to Stop Such Medication Prior to Dental Extractions. J Maxillofac Oral Surg [Internet]. 2017 Mar;16(1):48–52. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12663-016-0912-8
- Devarajan Y, Nagappan B, Choubey G, Vellaiyan S, Mehar K. Renewable Pathway and Twin Fueling Approach on Ignition Analysis of a Dual-Fuelled Compression Ignition Engine. Energy Fuels [Internet]. 2021 Jun 17;35(12):9930–6. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1021/acs.energyfuels.0c04237
- Barabadi H, Mojab F, Vahidi H, Marashi B, Talank N, Hosseini O, et al. Green synthesis, characterization, antibacterial and biofilm inhibitory activity of silver nanoparticles compared to commercial silver nanoparticles [Internet]. Vol. 129, Inorganic Chemistry Communications. 2021. p. 108647. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1016/j.inoche.2021.108647
- Manickam A, Devarasan E, Manogaran G, Priyan MK, Varatharajan R, Hsu CH, et al. Score level based latent fingerprint enhancement and matching using SIFT feature. Multimed Tools Appl [Internet]. 2019 Feb 1;78(3):3065–85. Available from: https://doi.org/10.1007/s11042-018-5633-1

- Subramaniam N, Muthukrishnan A. Oral mucositis and microbial colonization in oral cancer patients undergoing radiotherapy and chemotherapy: A prospective analysis in a tertiary care dental hospital [Internet]. Vol. 10, Journal of Investigative and Clinical Dentistry. 2019. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1111/jicd.12454
- Rohit Singh T, Ezhilarasan D. Ethanolic Extract of Lagerstroemia Speciosa (L.) Pers., Induces Apoptosis and Cell Cycle Arrest in HepG2 Cells. Nutr Cancer [Internet]. 2020;72(1):146–56. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1080/01635581.2019.1616780
- Wahab PUA, Abdul Wahab PU, Senthil Nathan P, Madhulaxmi M, Muthusekhar MR, Loong SC, et al. Risk Factors for Post-operative Infection Following Single Piece Osteotomy [Internet]. Vol. 16, Journal of Maxillofacial and Oral Surgery. 2017. p. 328– 32. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12663-016-0983-6
- Krishnamurthy A, Sherlin HJ, Ramalingam K, Natesan A, Premkumar P, Ramani P, et al. Glandular odontogenic cyst: report of two cases and review of literature. Head Neck Pathol [Internet]. 2009 Jun;3(2):153–8. Available from: http://dx.doi.org/10.1007/s12105-009-0117-2
- Garrett JR. The proper role of nerves in salivary secretion: A review. J Dent Res 1987;
 66: 387-97.
- 15. Morgan-Bathke M, Martin K, and Limesand K. Salivary glands and saliva. 2014.

16. Porter SR, Scully C, Hegarty AM. An update of the etiology and management of xerostomia.Oral Surg Oral Med Oral Patho Oral Radio Endod

2004;97(1):28-46.

17 Davis JM. Tobacco cessation for the dental team: A practical guide part 1: Background and Overview. J Contemp Dent Pract 2005;6(3):158-66.

18. Dyasanoor S, Saddu SC. Association of Xerosto-mia and Assessment of Salivary Flow Using Modi-fied Schirmer Test among Smokers and Healthy In-dividuals: A Preliminutesary Study. J Clin Diagn Res 2014;8(1):211-3

19. Dyasanoor S, Saddu SC. Association of xerostomia and assessment of salivary flow using modified schirmer test among smokers and healthy individuals: A preliminary study. J Clin Diagn Res. 2014;8(1):211-13.

20. Alaee A, Azizi A, Valaei N, Mocini SH. The correlation between cigarette smoking

and salivary flow rate. J Res in Dent Maxillofac Sci. 2017;2(3):05-09.

21. Rad M, Kakoie S, Brojeni FN, Pourdamghan N. Effect of long-term smoking on

whole-mouth salivary rate and oral health. J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects. 2010;4(4):110-14.

22. Petrušic N, Posavac M, Sabol I, Mravak-Stipetic M. The Effect of tobacco

23.	smoking on salivation. Acta Stomatol Croat. 2015;49(4):309-15. Fenoll-Palomares C, Muñoz Montagud JV, Sanchiz V, Herreros B, Hernández
24. on per 25.	V, Mínguez M, et al. Unstimulated salivary flow rate, pH, and buffer capacity of saliva in healthy volunteers. Rev Esp Enferm Dig. 2004;96(11):773-83. Fahad K, Aziz A, Shahab S, Zafar M. Laboratorial and clinical impacts of tobacco iodontal health: A systematic review. Int Dent J Student's Res 2015; 3: 72-8. Dawes C. Circadian rhythms in human salivary flow rate and composition. J
26.	Physiol. 1972;220(3):529-45. Uchida H, Nakamura TJ, Takasu NN, Obana-Koshino A, Ono H, Todo T, et al.
27.	The central clock controls the daily rhythm of Aqp5 expression in salivary glands. J Physiol Sci. 2018;68(4):377-85. Rad M, Kakoie S, Brojeni FN, Pourdamghan N. Effect of long-term smoking on
28.	whole-mouth salivary rate and oral health. J Dent Res Dent Clin Dent Prospects. 2010;4(4):110-14. Khan GJ, Javed M, Ishaq M. Effect of smoking on salivary flow rate. Gomal J
29.	Med Sci. 2010;8(2):221-24. Nigar S, Hassan S, Maqsood A, Ahmed N, Al-Aksar M, Mokeem SA, et al. An
30.	assessment of unstimulated salivary flow rate, IgA and clinical oral dryness among active and passive smokers. Int J Occup Med Environ Health. 2022;35(1):39-51. A'yun Q, Hidayati S, Kuriawan F. Comparative status of saliva between electric
31.	smokers and non-smokers. Int Res J Pharmacy Med Sci. 2021;5(1):04-06. Mortazavi H, Baharvand M, Movahhedian A, Mohammadi M, Khodadoustan A.
32.	Xerostomia due to systemic disease: A review of 20 conditions and mechanisms. Ann Med Health Sci Res. 2014;4(4):503-10. Dawes C. Circadian rhythms in human salivary flow rate and composition. J
33.	Physiol. 1972;220(3):529-45. Kanwar A, Sah K, Grover N, Chandra S, Singh RR. Long Term effect of tobacco
	on resting whole mouth salivary flow rate and pH: An institutional based

comparative study. European J Gen Dent. 2013;2(3):296-99.