

COMMON FIXED POINTS OF GERAGHTY GENERALIZED RATIONAL TYPE WEAK CONTRACTION MAPS WITH ALTERING DISTANCE FUNCTIONS VIA GRAPH STRUCTURES

Kusuma Tummala 1,* A. Sree Rama Murthy 2 V. Ravindranath 3 and P. Harikrishna 4

1* Corresponding author: Kusuma Tummala, Department of Mathematics, VNR Vignana Jyothi Institute of Engineering, Hyderabad INDIA. e-mail: kusumatummala9@gmail.com

2A. Sree Rama Murthy, Department of Mathematics, Ideal Institute of Technology, Kakinada, Andhra Pradesh, India e-mail: srakella2002@yachoo.co.in 2

3 V. Ravindranath, Department of Mathematics, JNTUK College of Engineering, JNTU, Kakinada-521003, Andhra Pradesh, India. e-mail: nathvr@yahoo.com 3

4P. Harikrishna, Department of Mathematics, N S Raju Institute of Technology, Visakhapatnam, 531 173, INDIA. e-mail: phk.2003@gmail.com 4

Abstract. In this paper we prove the existence of common fixed points of $\beta \psi$ weak generalized rational contraction mappings with two metrics spaces endowed with a directed graph. We provided examples in support of our results.

Keywords: Fixed Point; directed graph; Geraghty contraction; metric space.

AMS(2010) Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10, 54H25.

1. INTRODUCTION AND PRELIMINARIES

Banach contraction principle is one of the most fundamental results in fixed point theory; by extending the contractive condition and the ambient space, there are several extensions and generalizations. Jachmski [17] extended the structure of orders is replaced by the structure of Graphs on metric spaces in extended fixed point theory. The intersection of theories of fixed point findings with single and multi valued mappings is known as fixed point theory and graph theory. Many researchers [2, 3, 6, 8, 9] studied fixed point results on various spaces endowed with graphs. Fixed point results extended using Geraghty [15, 4] contractions with specific properties. Recently [7] proved the existence of fixed point theorems of auxiliary functions fractional differential equations with applications.

Note that metric fixed point and graph theory have common application environments. In the multivalued case, the authors in [3] proved a fixed point theorem for Mizoguchi–Takahashi-type contractions on a metric space endowed with a graph. For further results in this direction, we refer to [4–11]. Recently, in [12], the authors introduced a new concept of contractions called F-Khan contractions and proved a related fixed point theorem. The investigation of iterative plans for different classes of contractive and nonexpansive mappings is a focal point in measurement fixed point hypothesis. It began with crafted by Banach who demonstrated an old style hypothesis, known as the Banach contraction guideline, for the presence of a one of a kind fixed point for a withdrawal. The significance of this outcome is that it likewise gives

the intermingling of an iterative plan to the one of a kind fixed point. A few creators have likewise given results managing the presence and estimate of fixed marks of specific classes of non expansive-type multi functions. Suzuki laid out some strategies which broaden the notable withdrawal techniques for mappings and multi functions. It is realized that consolidating a few branches is a regular movement in various areas of science particularly in math. Normally, it is prominent in fixed point hypothesis. Throughout recent many years, there have been a great deal of movement in fixed point hypothesis furthermore, one more branches in arithmetic such differential conditions, calculation and mathematical geography. In 2005, Echenique gave a short and useful evidence of an expansion of Tarski's proper point hypothesis which is significant in the hypothesis of games. In 2006, Espinola and Kirk provided useful results on combining fixed point theory and graph theory [6]. In 2008 and 2009, Jachymski continued this idea by using different view (see [8] and [7]). Then, Beg, Butt and Radojevic obtained some results in 2010 (see [2]) in the same direction. In this paper, we present some iterative scheme results for G-contractive and G-nonexpansive maps on graphs.

2. DEFINITIONS

Definition 1. [17] Let (X, d) be a metric space and Δ denote the diagonal of the Cartesian product $X \times X$. The metric space (X, d) is said to be endowed with a directed graph or digraph $G = (V(G), E(G))$ if G is a directed graph such that the vertex set $V(G)$ contains all the elements of X and the edge set $E(G)$ contain Δ while excluding parallel edges.

Definition 2 [9] Suppose that (X, d) is a metric space with endowed with a graph, and $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ are functions. Let $X(S, T) := \{u \in X : (Su, Tu) \in E(G)\}$

$C(S, T) := \{u \in X : Su = Tu\}$

$C(S, T)$ is the set of all coincidence points of S and T , and $C_m(S, T) := \{u \in X : Su = Tu = u\}$, $C_m(S, T)$ is the set of all common fixed points of S and T .

Lemma 1 [17] let (X, d) be a metric space with endowed with a directed graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$, and let $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be functions. If $C(S, T) = \emptyset$, then $X(S, T) = \emptyset$.

Definition 3 [9] Suppose that (X, d) is a metric space endowed with a digraph $G = (V(G), E(G))$, and let

(1) A mapping $T : X \rightarrow X$ is said to be G-continuous at x in X , whenever, for a sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, we have that if $x_n \rightarrow x$ in X , then $Tx_n \rightarrow Tx$.

More over, T is called G-Continuous whenever it is G-continuous at every element x in X .

(2) The set $E(G)$ is said to transitive property, for all $x, y, z \in X$, if $(x, z), (z, y) \in E(G)$, then $(x, y) \in E(G)$.

(3) The triple (X, d, G) is said to have the property A whenever, for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X such that $x_n \rightarrow x \in X$ and $(x_n, x_{n+1}) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, it is true that $(x_n, x) \in E(G)$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Definition 4 [18] Let (X, d) be a metric space, and let $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be functions. Then S is said to be d-compatible whenever $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(Sx_n, Tx_n) = 0$

$$d(STx_n, TSx_n) =$$

0 for all sequence x_n in X with $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$

$$Tx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$$

Sx_n

Definition 5 [9] Let (X, d) and (Y, d_J) be metric spaces, and let $S : X \rightarrow Y$ and $T : X \rightarrow X$ be functions. Then T is said to be S -Cauchy on X , for any sequence $\{x_n\}$ in X with $\{Tx_n\}$ being Cauchy in (X, d) , the sequence $\{Sx_n\}$ is Cauchy in (Y, d_J) .

Let $\varphi : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ be a function such that: φ is an increasing function; φ is a continuous function; $\varphi(t) = 0$ if and only if $t = 0$.

Consider the class $A(X)$, where X is a metric with metric d . Let $h :$

$$X \times X \rightarrow [0, 1], \text{ if } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$$

$$h(t_n, s_n) = 1 \text{ then } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$$

$$d(t_n, s_n) = 0 \text{ where the se-}$$

quences t_n, s_n in X .

The existence of common fixed point theorems for auxiliary functions with two metrics endowed with a digraph was defined and proved by Ben Won-gasaiji, Phakdi Charoensawan, Teeranush Suebcharoen and Watchareepan Atiponrat in 2021.[7]

Definition 5 [7] Let (X, d) be a metric space endowed with a directed Graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$, and let $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be functions. The pair S, T is an $h \varphi$ -contraction with respect to d whenever the following conditions hold:

- (1) With regard to G , S is T -edge preserving;
- (2) there are two functions, h in $A(X)$, and φ in Φ , such that for any x, y in X with (Tx, Ty) in $E(G)$,

$$\varphi(d(Tx, Ty)) \leq h(Sx, Sy)\varphi(d(Sx, Sy)),$$

where $R : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function such that, for any $x, y \in X$,
 $R(Sx, Sy) = \max\{R(x, y), d(Sx, Sy), d(Sx, Tx), d(Sy, Ty), \dots\}$

Theorem 2.1. [7] Let (X, d) be a complete metric space endowed with a directed graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$, let d be another metric on X , and let $S, T : X \rightarrow X$ be functions. Suppose that (S, T) is an h - ϕ -contraction with respect to d , Further, assume that the following conditions satisfied:

- (i) $S : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$ is a continuous function such that $S(X)$ is d -closed;
 - (ii) $T(X) \subseteq S(X)$;
 - (iii) $E(G)$ is transitive;
 - (iv) If $d < d_J$, $T : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$ is G -Cauchy on X ;
 - (v) $T : (X, d) \rightarrow (X, d)$ is G -continuous, and T and S are d -compatible.
- $X(T, S) \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $C(T, S) \neq \emptyset$.

In 1973, Geraghty [15] enhanced the Banach Contraction Principle by substituting a function with particular features for the contraction constant.

We denote S is the class of functions

$\beta : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow [0, 1)$ satisfying, for a sequence $\{t_n\}$ in \mathbb{R}^+ such that $\beta(t_n) \rightarrow$

$1 \implies t_n \rightarrow 0$

Definition 5 [15] Let M be a metric space with metric d . A self map $T : M \rightarrow M$ is said to be Geraghty contraction if there exist $\beta \in S$ such that $d(Tx, Ty) \leq \beta(d(x, y))d(x, y)$ for all x, y in X . In 1884, M.S. Khan, M. Swaleh, S. Sessa, introduced the class of altering distance functions [21], which we denote $\Psi = \{\psi : \mathbb{R}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^+ \text{ such that (i) } \psi \text{ is non decreasing, (ii) } \psi \text{ is continuous (iii) } \psi(t) = 0 \implies t = 0\}$

In this section, we use a Graph Structure to prove the presence Common fixed points of Geraghty generalized rational type weak contraction maps with altering distance functions

3. MAIN RESULTS

Definition 2.1 Let M be a metric space with metric d endowed with a digraph $G = (V(G), E(G))$, and let $f, g : X \rightarrow X$ be functions. The pair A, B is said to be β - ψ weak rational contraction with respect to d if

- (1) with regard to the graph G , A is B -edge preserving;
- (2) there exists two functions $\beta \in S$ and $\psi \in \Psi$ such that, for all $x, y \in X$

with $(Bx, By) \in E(G)$, we have

$$\psi(d(Ax, Ay)) \leq \beta(\psi(K(Bx, By)))\psi(K(Bx, By)) + L.N(Bx, By), \text{ for } L \geq 0 \text{ where } K : M \times M \rightarrow [0, \infty) \text{ is a function such that, for any } x, y \in X, K(Bx, By) = \max\{d(Bx, Ax)d(Ay, By), d(By, Ay)[1+d(Bx, Ax)], d(Ax, Bx)[1+d(Ay, By)], d(By, Ax)[1+d(Ax, By)], d(Bx, By), d(Bx, Ay)+d(By, Ax)\}$$

$$N(Bx, By) = \min\{d(Bx, Ax)d(Ay, By), d(By, Ax)[1+d(Ax, By)], d(Bx, By), d(By, Ay)\}$$

Theorem 3.1. Let M be a complete metric space with metric d endowed with a digraph $G = (V(G), E(G))$, let d be another metric on M , and let $A, B : M \rightarrow M$ be functions. Suppose

that (A, B) is an $\beta \psi$ weak rational contraction with respect to d , Further, assume that the following conditions satisfied:

- (i) $B : (M, d_J) \rightarrow (M, d_J)$ is a continuous function such that $A(X)$ is d_J - closed;
- (ii) $A(M) \subset B(M)$;
- (iii) $E(G)$ is transitive;
- (iv) If $d < d_J$, $A : (M, d) \rightarrow (M, d_J)$ is G - Cauchy on X ;
- (v) $A : (M, d) \rightarrow (M, d_J)$ is G - continuous, and A and B are d_J -compatible. then A and B have a coincident points.

Proof. Let $t_0 \in M$ be such that $(Bt_0, Bt_1) \in E(G)$. Since $A(M) \subset B(M)$ and $A(t_0) \in M$. Choose $t_1 \in M$ such that $A(t_0) = B(t_1)$

we can construct a sequence $\{t_n\}$ in M such that $B(t_n) = A(t_{n-1})$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. If $B(t_{n+1}) = B(t_n)$ for some $n \in \mathbb{N}$ i.e., $A(t_n) = B(t_n)$, t_n is a coincident point of A and B .

Hence, without loss of generality, we may assume that $Bt_n \neq Bt_{n+1}$ for each

$n \geq N$. Since $(Bt_0, At_0) = (Bx_0, Ax_1) \in E(G)$, and the function A e -edge preserving with respect to G , so that $(At_0, At_1) = (Bt_1, Bt_2) \in E(G)$.

By proceeding in this way, we have $(Bt_{n-1}, Bxt_n) \in E(G)$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Since (A, B) is $\beta \psi$ - rational contraction with respect to d , for each $n \geq 0$, we have $\psi d(Bt_n, Bt_{n+1}) = \psi d(At_{n-1}, At_n) = \beta(\psi(K(Bt_{n-1}, Bt_n)))(\psi(K(Bt_{n-1}, Bt_n))) + LN(Bt_{n-1}, Bt_n)$
 (3.1)

$$K(Bt_{n-1}, Bt_n) = \max \{ d(Bt_{n-1}, At_{n-1})d(At_n, Bt_n), d(Bt_n, At_n)[1+d(Bt_{n-1}, At_{n-1})],$$

$$d(At_{n-1}, Bt_{n-1})[1+d(At_n, Bt_n)],$$

$$1+d(Bt_{n-1}, Bt_n)$$

$$d(Bt_{n-1}, Bt_n)$$

$$1+d(Bt_{n-1}, Bt_n)$$

$$d(Bt_n, At_{n-1})[1+d(At_{n-1}, Bt_n)], d(Bt_{n-1}, Bt_n), d(Bt_{n-1}, At_n)+d(Bt_n, At_{n-1}) \}$$

$$1+d(Bt_{n-1}, Bt_n) \quad 2$$

$$K(Bt_{n-1}, Bt_n) = \max \{ d(Bt_{n-1}, Bt_n)d(Bt_{n+1}, Bt_n), d(Bt_n, Bt_{n+1})[1+d(Bt_{n-1}, Bt_n)],$$

$$d(Bt_n, Bt_{n-1})[1+d(Bt_{n+1}, Bt_n)],$$

$$1+d(Bt_{n-1}, Bt_n)$$

$$d(Bt_{n-1}, Bt_n)$$

$1+d(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn})$

$B_{tn}), d(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn+1})+d(B_{tn}, B_{tn}) \}$

$K(B_{t-1}, B_t) = \max \{d(B_{t-1}, B_t), d(B_{t-1}, B_t), d(B_{tn}, B_{tn-1})[1+d(B_{tn+1}, B_{tn})],$
 $1+d(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn})$

$0, d(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn}), d(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn+1}) \}$

$\leq \max \{d(B_{tn+1}, B_{tn}), d(B_{tn}, B_{tn-1})[1+d(B_{tn+1}, B_{tn})], d(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn}), d(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn+1}) \}$
 $1+d(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn}) \quad 2$

$\leq \max \{d(B_{tn+1}, B_{tn}), d(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn})\}$

$N(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn}) = \min \{d(B_{tn-1}, A_{tn-1})d(A_{tn}, B_{tn}), d(B_{tn}, B_{tn-1})[1+d(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn})], d(B_{tn-1},$
 $B_{tn}), d(B_{tn}, A_{t$

$d(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn}) \quad 1+d(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn})$

$N(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn}) = \min \{d(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn})d(B_{tn+1}, B_{tn}), d(B_{tn}, B_{tn})[1+d(B_{tn}, B_{tn})], d(B_{tn-1},$
 $g_{tn} B_{tn}), d(B_{tn}, B_{tn}$

$d(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn}) \quad 1+d(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn})$

$= \min \{d(B_{t-1}, B_t), 0[1+0], d(B_{t-1}, B_t), d(B_{t-1}, B_t) \quad \} = 0$

$1+d(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn})$

If $\max \{d(B_{tn+1}, B_{tn}), d(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn})\} = d(B_{tn+1}, B_{tn})$

From (2.1), we have $\psi(d(B_{tn}, B_{tn+1})) = \psi(d(A_{tn-1}, A_{tn})) \beta(\psi(M(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn}))) (\psi(d(B_{tn+1},$
 $B_{tn}))$

LN.0

Since βS , We get $\psi(d(B_{tn}, B_{tn+1})) < \psi(d(B_{tn+1}, B_{tn}))$, which is a contradiction.

Hence $\max \{d(B_{tn+1}, B_{tn}), d(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn})\} = d(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn})$

Therefore from (2.1), we have

$$\psi(d(B_{tn}, B_{tn+1})) = \psi(d(A_{tn-1}, A_{tn})) < \psi(d(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn})) \quad (3.2)$$

So, that the sequence $\psi(d(B_{tn}, B_{tn+1}))$ is strictly decreasing sequence of

positive real numbers and so \lim

$n \rightarrow \infty$

$$\psi(d(B_{tn}, B_{tn+1})) = r \geq 0$$

We now show that $r = 0$. Suppose that $r > 0$ then from from (2.1) we have

$$\psi(d(B_{tn}, B_{tn+1})) = \psi(d(A_{tn-1}, A_{tn})) \leq \beta(\psi(K(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn}))) (\psi(K(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn})) + LN(B_{tn-1},$$

 $B_{tn}))$

$$\leq \beta(\psi(K(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn}))) (\psi(d(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn})) + L.0$$

$$\psi(d(B_{tn}, B_{tn+1})) \leq \beta(\psi(M(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn}))) (\psi(d(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn})) \quad \psi(d(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn})) \leq \beta(\psi(M(B_{tn-1},$$

 $B_{tn}))) < 1 \text{ for each } n \geq 1 \text{ Now on letting } n \rightarrow \infty, \text{ we get}$

$$1 = \lim$$

$$\psi(d(B_{tn}, B_{tn+1}))$$

$$\beta(\psi(K(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn}))) \leq 1$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(d(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn}))$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$$

so that $\beta(\psi(K(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn}))) \rightarrow 1$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

From the property of β S, we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$

$$\psi(K(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn})) = 0$$

so that \lim

$$n$$

i.e., $r = 0$

$$\psi(d(B_{tn-1}, B_{tn})) = 0$$

Now, we show that the sequence $\{B_{tn}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence. Suppose that $\{B_{tn}\}$ is not a Cauchy sequence. Then there exist an $\epsilon > 0$ such that, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$, there are sequences of positive integers $\{m(k)\}$ and $\{n(k)\}$ with $m(k) > n(k) > k$ and $d(B_{tm(k)}, B_{tn(k)}) \geq \epsilon$ and $d(B_{tm(k)-1}, B_{tn(k)}) < \epsilon \leq d(B_{tn(k)}, B_{tm(k)}) \leq d(B_{tn(k)}, B_{tm(k)-1}) + d(B_{tm(k)-1}, B_{tm(k)}) < \epsilon + d(B_{tm(k)-1}, B_{tm(k)})$

Taking as k and using $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$

$$d(B_{tn}, B_{t(n+1)}) = 0,$$

we get $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty}$

$$d(B_{tm(k)}, B_{tn(k)}) = \epsilon > 0.$$

Since $E(G)$ has the transitivity property, we get that $(g_{xm}(k), g_{xn}(k)) \in E(G)$ for every $k \in \mathbb{N}$, we get

$$\psi(d(B_{tn}(k) + 1, B_{tm}(k) + 1)) = \psi(d(A_{tn}(k), A_{tm}(k)))$$

$$\leq \beta(K(B_{tn}(k), B_{tm}(k)))\psi(K(B_{tn}(k), B_{tm}(k))) + L.N(B_{tn}(k), B_{tm}(k))$$

$$\text{Now } K(B_{tn}(k), B_{tm}(k)) = \max \{ d(B_{tn}(k), A_{tn}(k))d(A_{tm}(k), B_{tm}(k)), d(B_{tm}(k), B_{tm}(k))[1+d(B_{tn}(k), A_{tn}(k))] \},$$

$$d(A_{tn}(k), B_{tn}(k))[1+d(A_{tm}(k), B_{tm}(k))] + d(B_{tn}(k), B_{tm}(k))$$

$$d(B_{tn}(k), B_{tm}(k))$$

$$1+d(B_{tn}(k), B_{tm}(k))$$

$$d(B_{tm}(k), A_{tn}(k))[1+d(A_{tm}(k), B_{tm}(k))] + d(B_{tn}(k), B_{tm}(k)), d(B_{tn}(k), A_{tm}(k))+d(B_{tm}(k), A_{tn}(k)) \}$$

$$K(B_{t$$

$$(k), B_{t$$

$$(k)) = \max \{ d(B_{tn}(k), B_{tn}(k)+1)d(B_{tm}(k)+1, B_{tm}(k)), d(B_{tm}(k), B_{tm}(k)+1)[1+d(B_{tn}(k), B_{tn}(k)+1)] \},$$

$$d(B_{tn}(k)+1, B_{tn}(k))[1+d(B_{tm}(k)+1, B_{tm}(k))] + d(B_{tn}(k), B_{tm}(k))$$

$$d(B_{tm}(k), B_{tn}(k)+1)[1+d(B_{tn}(k)+1, B_{tm}(k))] + d(B_{tn}(k), B_{tm}(k))$$

$$n(k), B_{tm}$$

$$(k), d(B_{tn}(k), B_{tm}(k)+1)+d(B_{tm}(k), B_{tn}(k)+1) \}$$

On letting k and using \lim

$$k \rightarrow \infty$$

$$d(B_{tn}, B_{tn+1}) = 0$$

$$\lim$$

$K(Bt$

$(k), Bt$

$(k))) = \lim$

$d(Btn(k), Btn(k+1)) [1 + d(Btn(k+1), Btn(k))] , d(Bt$

$(k), Bt$

$(k)), d(Btn(k), B$

$n \quad m$

$k \rightarrow \infty$

$k \rightarrow \infty$

$1 + d(Btn(k), Btn(k)) \quad n \quad m$

\lim

k

\lim

$k \rightarrow \infty$

$K(Btn(k), Btn(k))) = \epsilon$

$N(Btn(k), Btn(k)) = 0$

$1 = \lim$

$\psi(d(Btn(k), Btn(k+1)))$

$\beta(\psi(M(Bt$

$, Bt$

$(k))) \leq 1$

$k \rightarrow \infty \quad \psi(d(Btn(k)-1, Btn(k)))$

$n \rightarrow \infty$

$n(k)-1$ n

so that $\beta(\psi(M(B_{tn(k)-1}, B_{tn(k)}))) \rightarrow 1$ as $k \rightarrow \infty$.

From the property of β S, we get $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty}$

$$\psi(M(B_{tn(k)-1}, B_{tn(k)})) = 0$$

so that $\lim_{k \rightarrow \infty}$

$\psi(d(B_{tn(k)-1}, B_{tn(k)})) = 0$, which is a contradiction.

Hence the sequence $\{g_{xn}\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in the metric space (X, d) .
Now, we have to prove that the sequence B_{tn} is a Cauchy sequence in the metric space (M, dJ) .

When $d \leq dJ$ the proof is trivial. So that we consider $d \geq dJ$.

Let $\epsilon > 0$. Since the sequence $\{B_{t(n)}\}$ is a Cauchy in the metric space (M, d) and the function A is B -Cauchy on M . We have $A(M) \subset B(M)$, we can obtain that $\{A_{t(n)}\}$ is a Cauchy in the metric space (M, dJ) . So, there

a number $n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $dJ(B_{t(m+1)}, B_{t(n+1)}) = dJ(A_{t(m)}, A_{t(n)}) < \epsilon$ for all $m, n \geq n_0$ and hence B_{tn} is Cauchy's sequence in (X, dJ) .

$B(M)$ is a d' -closed subset of (M, dJ) , and which is complete, then there

exist $z = g_t \in B(M)$ such that \lim

$$B_{tn} = \lim$$

$$A_{tn} = z.$$

$$J \quad J \quad n \rightarrow \infty$$

$$n \rightarrow \infty$$

We have $A : (M, d) \rightarrow (M, d)$ is a G -continuous function such that A and B are dJ -compatible

$$\lim$$

$n \rightarrow \infty$

$$d(BA_{tn}, AB_{tn}) = 0.$$

Consider $d(Bu, Au) = d(Bu, BA_{tn}) + d(BA_{tn}, AB_{tn}) + d(AB_{tn}, Au)$
taking limit as $n \rightarrow \infty$, we get that $dJ(Az, Bz) = 0$, and from continuity of B and that A is G -continuous.

Therefore $Az = Bz$. Which implies that z is a coincidence point of A and B .

Q

Theorem 3.2. In addition to the hypothesis of the above theorem 2.1 A and B are weakly compatible the A and B have a common fixed point.

Proof. From the proof of theorem 2.1 B_{tn} is non decreasing sequence and converges to Bz and $Bz = Az$.

Since A and B are weakly compatible, we have $ABz = BZz$. Now $Az = Bz = u$ (say)

Also, $Au = ABz = BAz = Bu$

If $z = u$ then u is a common fixed point of A and B .

if $z \neq u$, i.e., $d(z, u) > 0$

$$\psi(d(Bz, Bu)) = \psi(d(Az, Au)) \leq \beta(\psi(K(Bz, Bu)))\psi(K(Bz, Bu)) + L.N(Bz, Bu)$$

$$K(Bz, Bu) = \max \{ d(Bz, Az)d(Au, Bu), d(Bu, Au)[1+d(Bz, Az)], d(Az, Bz)[1+d(Au, Bu)], d(Bu, Az)[1+d(Az, Bu)], d(Bz, Bu), d(Bz, Au)+d(Bu, Az) \}$$

$$K(Bz, Bu) = \max \{ d(u, u)d(Au, Bu), d(Bu, Bu)[1+d(u, u)], d(u, u)[1+d(Bu, Bu)], d(Bu, u)[1+d(u, Bu)], d(u, Bu), d(u, Bu)+d(Bu, u) \}$$

$$K(Bz, Bu) = \max \{ 0, 0, 0, d(Bu, u), d(u, Bu), d(u, Bu)+d(Bu, u) \}$$

$$K(Bz, Bu) = d(Bu, u) = d(Bz, Bu)$$

$$N(Bz, Bu) = \min \{ d(Bz, Bz)d(Au, Bu), d(Bu, Az)[1+d(Az, Bu)], d(Bz, Bu), d(Bu, Au) \}$$

$$N(Bz, Bu) = \min \{ d(u, u)d(Bu, Bu), d(Bu, u)[1+d(u, Bu)], d(Bz, Bu), d(Bu, Bu) =$$

$$d(u, Bu) \\ 0 \}$$

$$1+d(u, Bu)$$

$$\psi(d(Bz, Bu)) = \psi(d(Az, Au)) \leq \beta(\psi(M(Bz, Bu)))\psi(d(Bz, Bu)) + L.0$$

$$1 = \psi(d(Bz, Bu)) \leq \beta(\psi(M(Bz, Bu))) < 1.$$

Hence $Bz = Bu$

$Bu = Au = u$, there fore u is a common fixed point of A and B .

Q

Theorem 3.3. In addition to the hypothesis of the above theorem 2.1 For any $x, y \in C(A, B)$, such that $Bx \neq By$, we have $(Bx, By) \in E(G)$. If A and B are dJ-compatible and $X(A, gB) \neq \varphi$, then $C_m(A, B) \neq \varphi$.

Proof. From the theorem (2.1), there exists a coincidence point $x \in X$,
i.e., $gx = fx$.

Suppose that there exists another coincidence point $y \in X$ such that $gy =$
 fy ,

Assume that $Bx \neq By$.

From the assumption $(Bx, By) \in E(G)$

$\psi(d(Ax, Ay)) \leq \beta(\psi(K(Bx, By)))\psi(K(Bx, gBy)) + L.N(Bx, By)$, for $L \geq 0$ where $K : M \times M \rightarrow$
 $[0, \infty)$ is a function such that, for any $x, y \in X$, $K(Bx, By) = \max\{d(Bx, Ax)d(Ay, By),$
 $d(By, Ay)[1+d(Bx, Ax)], d(Ax, Bx)[1+d(Ay, By)],$
 $d(By, Ax)[1+d(Ax, By)], d(Bx, By), d(Bx, Ay)+d(By, Ax)\}$

$K(Bx, By) = \max\{d(Bx, Ax)d(By, By), d(By, By)[1+d(Bx, Bx)], d(Bx, Bx)[1+d(By, By)],$
 $d(By, Bx)[1+d(Bx, By)], d(Bx, By), d(Bx, By)+d(By, Bx)\} = d(Bx, By)$
 $1+d(Bx, By)^2$

$N(Bx, By) = 0$

therefore $\psi(d(Ax, Ay))$

$\beta(\psi(M(Bx, By)))\psi(M(Bx, By)) + L.N(Bx, By) < \psi(d(Ax, Ay))$

which is a contradiction.

Therefore $Bx = By$.

Let $t \in X$, and define the sequence $\{t_n\}$ by $Bt_n = At_{n-1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Since t is a coincidence
point of A and B , we have $Bt_n = At$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

Now let $w = Bt$

therefore $Bw = BBt = BAAt$

By the definition of the sequence $\{t_n\}$, $Bt_n = At = At_{n-1}$ for each $n \in \mathbb{N}$.

\lim

$n \rightarrow \infty$

$At_n = \lim$

$n \rightarrow \infty$

$Bt_n = At$.

$J \quad J$

i.e., $BAAt = Abt$.

$n \rightarrow \infty$

Therefore, we have $Bw = BA_t = AB_t = Aw$
and hence w is another coincidence point of A and B . Now $Aw = Bw = Bt = w$
Therefore w is a common fixed point of A and B . i.e., $C_m(A, B) \neq \emptyset$. \square

4. COROLLARIES AND EXAMPLES

When $\psi(t)$ is identity map, we have the following.

Definition 3.1 Let (M, d) be a metric space endowed with a directed Graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$, and let $A, B : M \rightarrow M$ be functions. The pair A, B is said to be β rational contraction with respect to d if

- (1) A is B -edge preserving with respect to G ;
- (2) there exists two functions $\beta \in S$ and $\psi \in \Psi$ such that, for all $x, y \in X$ with $(Bx, By) \in E(G)$, we have

$$d(Ax, Ay) \leq \beta(K(Bx, By)(K(Bx, By) + L.N(Bx, By)), \text{ for } L \in [0, \infty)$$

where $M : X \times X \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function such that, for any $x, y \in X$,
 $d(Bx, Ax)d(Ay, By) \leq d(By, Ay)[1+d(Bx, Ax)] + d(Ax, Bx)[1+d(Ay, By)]$

$$d(By, Ax)[1+d(Ax, By)] + d(Bx, By), d(Bx, Ay)+d(By, Ax) \} \\ N(Bx, By) = \min \{ d(Bx, Ax)d(Ay, By) + d(By, Ax)[1+d(Ax, By)], d(Bx, By), d(By, Ay) \}$$

Corollary 4.1. Let (M, d) be a complete metric space endowed with a directed graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$, let d be another metric on M , and let $A, B : M \rightarrow M$ be functions. Suppose that (A, B) is an β -weak rational contraction with respect to d , Further, assume that the following conditions satisfied:

- (i) $M : (M, d) \rightarrow (M, d)$ is a continuous function such that $S(X)$ is d -closed;
- (ii) $A(X) \subseteq B(X)$;
- (iii) $E(G)$ is transitive ;
- (iv) If $d \leq d$, $a : (M, d) \rightarrow (M, d)$ is G -Cauchy on M ;
- (v) $f : (M, d) \rightarrow (M, d)$ is G -continuous, and A and B are d -compatible.

then A and A have a coincident points.

When $L = 0$, we have the following.

Definition 3.2 Let (M, d) be a metric space endowed with a directed Graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$, and let $A, B : M \rightarrow M$ be functions. The pair A, B is said to be $\beta \psi$ -rational contraction with respect to d if

- (1) A is B -edge preserving with respect to G ;
- (2) there exists two functions $\beta \in S$ and $\psi \in \Psi$ such that, for all $x, y \in X$ with $(Bx, By) \in E(G)$, we have

$$\psi(d(Ax, Ay)) \leq \beta(\psi(K(Bx, By))\psi(K(Bx, By)) +,$$

where $K : M \times M \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is a function such that, for any $x, y \in M$,
 $K(Bx, By) = \max \{ d(Bx, Ax)d(f Ay, By) , d(By, Ay)[1+d(Bx, Ax)] , d(Ax, Bx)[1+d(Ay, gBy)] , d(By, Ax)[1+d(Ax, By)] , d(Bx, By), d(Bx, Ay)+d(By, Ax) \}$

Corollary 4.2. Let (X, d) be a complete metric space endowed with a directed graph $G = (V(G), E(G))$, let d be another metric on X , and let $A, B : X \rightarrow X$ be functions. Suppose that (f, g) is an β - ψ -rational contraction with respect to d . Further, assume that the following conditions satisfied:

- (i) $B : (M, d) \rightarrow (M, d)$ is a continuous function such that $A(X)$ is d -closed;
- (ii) $A(X) \subseteq B(X)$;
- (iii) $E(G)$ is transitive ;
- (iv) If $d \leq d$, $A : (M, d) \rightarrow (M, d)$ is G -Cauchy on m ;
- (v) $A : (M, d) \rightarrow (M, d)$ is G -continuous, and A and A are d -compatible.

then A and B have a coincident points.

Now we present an example in support of Theorem 2.2.

Example 4.3. Let $M : [0, 1]$ and define the metrics $d, dJ : M \rightarrow M$ defined by $d(x, y) = |x - y|$, $dJ(x, y) = K|x - y| \forall x, y \in M$, where $k > 1$. Clearly

Now we define $E(G) = \{(x, y) | x = y \text{ or } x, y \in [0, 1] \text{ with } x \leq y\}$. Define the mappings $A : M \rightarrow M$ and $B : M \rightarrow M$ by $A(x) = x$ and $B(x) = x \forall x \in M$.

Define $\psi(t) = t$, for all t , and define $\beta : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, 1)$ by $\beta(t) = \frac{1}{1+t}, t \geq 0$

2

Let $(Bx, By) \in E(G)$, if $x = y$ then $(Ax, Ay) \in E(G)$

$1+2t$

If $(Bx, By) \in E(G)$ with $Bx \leq By$, then we get $Bx = x \leq By = y$

$Ax = x \leq Ay \leq y$ and $Ax, Ay \in [0, 1]$ that implies $Ax, Ay \in E(G)$

Let x, y be arbitrary in M and $(Bx, By) \in E(G)$

If $Bx = By$ then we get $x = y$, inequality in Theorem 2.1 holds. Suppose $Bx = x, By = y$ in $[0, 1]$.

$\psi(d(Ax, Ay)) = \psi(d(x, y)) = \psi(|x - y|) = |x - y|$

$d(x, x)d(y, y) d(y, y)[1+d(x, x)] d(x, x)[1+d(y, y)]$

$K(Bx, By) = \max \{ \frac{1}{1+2|x-y|}, \frac{1}{1+2|x-y|}, \frac{1}{1+2|x-y|}, \frac{1}{1+2|x-y|}, \frac{1}{1+2|x-y|}, \frac{1}{1+2|x-y|}, \frac{1}{1+2|x-y|} \}$

$d(y, x)[1+d(x, y)]$

$d(x, y)$

$x \cdot y$

$$|y - \frac{1}{2}x| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x - y|$$

$$N(Bx, By) = \min\{\frac{1}{2}|x - y|^2, |x - y|, 2\}$$

$$N(Bx, By) =$$

$$\frac{|x - y|}{2} \leq \frac{1}{2}|x - y|$$

$$1 + |x - y|$$

$$\psi(d(Ax, Ay)) \leq \beta(\psi(K(Bx, By)))\psi(K(Bx, By)) + L \cdot N(Bx, By)$$

$$|x - y| \leq \beta(\psi(|x - y|))\psi(|x - y|) + L$$

$$|2y - x| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x - y|$$

$$|x - y| \leq (($$

$$\frac{1 + |x - y|}{2} \leq \frac{1 + 2|x - y|}{2}$$

$$|x - y|) + L$$

$$|2y - x| \leq \frac{1}{2}|x - y|$$

$$1 + |x - y|$$

holds for some $L \geq 0$

Conclusion We proved the existence of common fixed points of $\beta \psi$ weak generalized rational contraction mappings with two metrics spaces endowed with a directed graph. We used a Graph Structure to prove the presence Common fixed points of Geraghty generalized rational type weak contraction maps with altering distance functions. We derived some corollaries from main results and provided examples in support of our results.

REFERENCES

- [1] Aleomraninejad, S. M. A., Rezapour, Sh., Shahzad, N. Convergence of an iterative scheme for multifunctions, *J. Fixed Point Theory Appl.* (2011), doi:10.1007/s11748-011-0046.
- [2] Alfuraidan, M R,: Remarks on monotone multi valued mappings on a metric space with a graph. *J. Inequal. Appl.* (2015). <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13660-015-00712-6>.
- [3] Alfuraidan, M R., :The contraction principle for multi valued mappings on a metric space with a graph. *Can. Math. Bull.* (2016). <https://doi.org/10.4153/CMB-2015-029-X>.
- [4] Babu, G. V. R, Sarma, K. K. M and Krishna, P. H : "Fixed points of ψ - weak Geraghty contractions in partially ordered metric spaces", *Journal of Advanced Research in Pure Mathematics*, Vol. 6, Issue. 4, 2014, pp. 9-23 doi:10.5373/jarpm.1896.120413 .
- [5] Babu, G. V. R., Sarma, K. K. M. and Krishna, P. H.,: "Necessary and sufficient conditions for the existence of fixed points of weak generalized Geraghty contractions", *Int. J. Mathematics and Scientific computing*, 5(1) 2015, pp.31 - 38.
- [6] Beg, I.,Butt. A. R.;Rdojovic,S,: The contraction principle for set valued mappings on a metric space with a graph. *Comput. Math. Appl.* 2010, 60- 1214-1219.
- [7] Ben Wongasaiji,, Phakdi Charonsawan ., Teernush Suebcharoen., : Common fixed point theorems for auxiliary functions with applications in fractional differential equation , *Advances in Differential equations* , Springer Open Journalb2021:503.<https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-021-03660-x>.
- [8] Bojor., :F. Fixed point theorems for Reich type contractions on metric space with a graph. *Nonlinear Anal.* 2012,75,3895-3901.
- [9] Charonesawan,P.,Atiponrat, W.,: Common fixed point and coupled coincidence point theorems for Geraghty's type contraction mapping with two metrics endowed with a directed graph, *Hindawi J. Math* (2017). <https://doi.org/10.1155/2017/5746704>.
- [10] Chifu,C., Petrusel, G.,Bota. M. F.,: Fixed points and strict fixed points for multi valued contractions of Reich type on metric spaces endowed with a graph. *Fixed point Theory Appl.* 2013.
- [11] De Blasi., F. Myjak,, S. Reich, J. S., Zaslavski,A. J.,: Generic existence and approximation of fixed points for nonexpansive set-valued maps, *Set-Valued Var. Anal.* 17 (2009) 97–112.
- [12] Diestel, R.,: *Graph Theory*, Springer-Verlag, New York, 2000.
- [13] Echenique,F,: A short and constructive proof of Tarski's fixed point theorem, *Internat. J. Game Theory* 33 (2) (2005) 215–218.

- [14] Espinola, R., Kirk, W. A.,: Fixed point theorems in R-trees with applications to graph theory, *Topology Appl.* 153 (2006) 1046–1055.
- [15] Gordji, M.E., Ramezani, M., Cho, Y. J., : Pirbavafa, S. A generalization of Geraghty’s theorem in partially ordered metric spaces and applications to ordinary differential equations. *Fixed point theory and Applications*, 2012, 2012: 74, 1 - 9.
- [16] Gwozdz-Lukawska., Jachymski,G. J .,: IFS on a metric space with a graph structure and extensions of the Kelisky–Rivlin theorem, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 356 (2009) 453–463.
- [17] Jachymski, J.,: The contraction principle for mappings on a complete metric space with graph. *Proc. Am. Math. Soc.* 2018, 136,1359-1373.
- [18] Jungck. G.,: Compatible mappings and common fixed points. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* 9, 771-779(1986).
- [19] Karapinar, E., Abdeljawad, T., Jarad, F. : Applying new fixed point theorems on fractional and ordinary differential equations, *Adv. Differ. Equ* (2019), <https://doi.org/10.1186/s13662-019-2354-3>.
- [20] Kelisky, R. P, Rivlin, T. J. Iterates of Bernstein polynomials, *Pacific J. Math.* 21 (1967) 511–520.
- [21] Khan,M. S, M. Swaleh, M. Sessa. S. Fixed point theorems by altering distances between the points. *Bull, Aust, Math. Soc.*, 1984, 30: 205 - 212.
- [22] Ozlem Acar, Hassen Aydi , Manuel De la Sen.; New fixed point results via a graph structures. *E- mathematics* 2021, 9, 1013. 1-13.
- [23] . Ran, A.C.M., . Reurings, M.C.B.,: A fixed point theorem in partially ordered sets and some applications to matrix equations, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 132 (5) (2003) 1435–1443.
- [24] Reich, S., Zaslavski, A. J.,: Convergence of inexact iterative schemes for nonexpansive set-valued mappings, *Fixed Point Theory Appl.* (2010), Article ID 518243, 10 pp.
- [25] Reich, S., Zaslavski, A.,: J Approximating fixed points of contractive set-valued mappings, *Commun. Math. Anal.* 8 (2010) 70–78.
- [26] Sultana, A., Vetrivel, V.,: Fixed points of Mizoguchi-Takahashi contraction on a metric space with a graph and applications. *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 2014, 417, 336–344.