

A COMMON FIXED POINT THEOREM IN I-GENERALIZED METRIC SPACES

Sunny Kumar^{a,1*}, Ayaz Ahmad^{b,1}

¹ Department of Mathematics, National Institute of Technology, Patna (India)

^asunnyk.phd19.ma@nitp.ac.in, ^bayaz@nitp.ac.in

Abstract

Here in, a common fixed point theorem of two self-mapping in the frame of generalized metric space under more generalized $(\psi - \phi)$ -weakly condition of contraction is presented, and then, an analogous version of this common fixed point theorem is presented in the frame of I-generalized metric space.

2020 Mathematics Subject Classification: 47H10; 54H25

Keywords: $(\psi - \phi)$ -weakly contraction, fixed point, rectangular metric space, coincidence point, common fixed point.

1. Introduction

In 1986, G. Jungck [6] proved common fixed point theorem under the concept of compatible self- mapping in metric spaces.

In 1997, Alber and Delabrier [1] introduced the concept of ϕ -weak contraction under which the existence of fixed points for self-mapping of Hilbert spaces has been proved.

Definition of a ϕ -weak contraction is “A mapping $T: X \rightarrow X$ is called a ϕ -weak contraction if there exists a continuous and non-decreasing function $\phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ such that $\phi(t) = 0$ iff $t = 0$ for which, for all $x, y \in X, d(T(x), T(y)) \leq d(x, y) - \phi(d(x, y))$ ”.

In fact, Banach contraction appears to be a special case of weak contraction by taking $\phi(t) = (1 - \alpha)t$ for $0 \leq \alpha < 1$.

In 2001, Rhoades [9] proved the result of Alber and Delabriere in complete metric spaces.

In 2008 Dutta and Choudhury [5] introduced the concept of $(\psi - \phi)$ -contraction and proved the fixed point result of self-mapping in metric spaces stated as “Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and

$T: X \rightarrow X$ satisfy $\psi(d(T(x), T(y))) \leq \psi(d(x, y)) - \phi(d(x, y))$ for all $x, y \in X$, where $\psi, \phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ are continuous non-decreasing functions such that $\psi(t) = 0 = \phi(t)$ iff $t = 0$. Then T has a unique fixed point”. Taking $\psi(t) = t (t \geq 0)$, we get the ϕ -weak contraction. Taking $\psi(t) = t (t \geq 0)$ and $\phi(t) = (1 - \alpha)t$ with $0 < \alpha < 1$, we get Banach contraction.

In 2009, Doric [4] proved common fixed point result of two self-mapping under $(\psi - \phi)$ -contraction stated as “Let (X, d) be a complete metric space and $T, f: X \rightarrow X$ satisfy

$\psi(d(T(x), f(y))) \leq \psi(M(x, y)) - \phi(M(x, y))$ for all $x, y \in X$, where $M(x, y) = \max \left\{ d(x, y), d(x, T(x)), d(y, f(y)), \frac{1}{2} (d(x, f(y)) + d(y, T(x))) \right\}$, and

(i) $\psi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is continuous non-decreasing such that $\psi(t) = 0$ iff $t = 0$,

(ii) $\phi: [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is lower semi-continuous such that $\phi(t) = 0$ iff $t = 0$.

Then T and f have a unique fixed point in X .

In 2000 Branciari [3] introduced metric space, called generalized metric space, which is a generalization of traditional metric space replacing triangular inequality by rectangular

inequality and thereafter many fixed point theorems and common fixed point theorems have been proved in this frame.

In [10], I-generalized metric space has been introduced, which is a kind of generalization of generalized metric space, and some fixed point results under several contractions in the context of

I-generalized metric spaces have been proved.

In [2] existence of unique common fixed point of two self-mapping of rectangular metric spaces under $(\psi - \phi)$ -weakly contractive condition has been established, stated as “Let (X, d) be a Hausdorff rectangular metric space, $S, T: X \rightarrow X$ such that $S(X) \subset T(X)$ and $(T(X), d)$ is a complete rectangular metric space, and satisfy $\psi(d(S(x), S(y))) \leq \psi(M(T(x), T(y))) - \phi(M(T(x), T(y)))$ for all $x, y \in X$, and ψ, ϕ are continuous with $\psi(t) = 0$ iff $t = 0$, $\phi(t) = 0$ iff $t = 0$ and ψ is non-decreasing, and $M(T(x), T(y)) = \max\{d(T(x), T(y)), d(T(x), S(x)), d(T(y), S(y))\}$. Then S, T have a unique coincidence point in X . Moreover, if S and T are weakly compatible, then S, T have a unique common fixed point”.

Here we are weakening the $(\psi - \phi)$ -weakly contraction of [2] and establish new common fixed point result of two self-mapping of g.m.s., and then prove the analogous version of this result in the I-g. m. s.

2. Preliminaries

First we remind some notation and definitions that will be utilized in our subsequent discussion.

Definition (2.1) [I-uniqueness or I-equality]: [10] Let X be a non-empty set and $f: X \rightarrow X$ be an idempotent map. Two elements x and y in X are said to be I-unique with respect to f , if $f(x) = f(y)$; otherwise x and y are said to be I-distinct points in X .

Definition (2.2)[I-generalized metric space]: [10] Let X be a non-empty set, $f: X \rightarrow X$ be an idempotent map, i.e., $f^2 = f$. A map $d: X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ is said to be an I-generalized metric (I-g.m.s., in short) on X iff

$I_1: \forall x, y \in X, d(x, f(y)) = 0$ iff $f(x) = f(y)$ and $d(f(x), y) = 0$ iff $f(x) = f(y)$.

$I_2: d(x, f(y)) = d(y, f(x))$ and $d(f(x), y) = d(f(y), x), \forall x, y \in X$.

$I_3: \text{for all } x, y \in X \text{ and for all I-distinct points } u, v \in X \text{ each of which I-distinct from } x \text{ and } y, d(x, y) \leq d(f(x), u) + d(f(u), v) + d(v, f(y)).$

The order triple (X, d, f) is called an I-generalized metric space. Elements of X are said to be points in X .

Example (2.3): (i) Every I-metric space is clearly a I-g.m.s.

(ii) Every generalized metric space (X, d) is clearly a I-g-m-s. with respect to the identity map on X .

(iii) Let $X = A \cup B$, where $A = \{0, 2\}, B = \{\frac{1}{n} : n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Let $f: X \rightarrow X$ be an idempotent mapping. Define $d: X^2 \rightarrow [0, \infty)$ by

$$d(x, y) = \begin{cases} 0, & \text{if } f(x) = f(y) \\ 1, & \text{if } f(x) \neq f(y), \{f(x), f(y)\} \subseteq A \text{ or } \{f(x), f(y)\} \subseteq B \\ f(y), & \text{if } f(x) \in A, f(y) \in B \\ f(x), & \text{if } f(x) \in B, f(y) \in A \end{cases}$$

Then (X, d, f) is an I-g.m.s.

Definition (2.4) [Convergence of a sequence:] [10] A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in an I-g.m.s. (X, d, f) is said to I-converge to a point $x \in X$, if for any $\varepsilon > 0, \exists m \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(f(x_n), x) < \varepsilon, \forall n \geq m$. In this case x is called I-limit of $\{x_n\}$.

A sequence which is not I-convergent in an I-g.m.s. (X, d, f) , is called a non-I-convergent or an I-divergent sequence.

Definition (2.5) [Cauchy sequence]:[10] A sequence $\{x_n\}$ in an I-g.m.s. (X, d, f) is said to be an I-cauchy sequence in X if for any $\varepsilon > 0, \exists n_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $d(f(x_m), x_n) < \varepsilon, \forall m, n \geq n_0$, i.e., $d(f(x_{n+p}), x_n) < \varepsilon, \forall n \geq n_0, \forall p \geq 1$.

Definition (2.6) [Complete I-g.m. s.]: [10] An I-g.m.s. (X, d, f) is said to be I-complete if every I-cauchy sequence in X I-converges to some point of X ; otherwise (X, d, f) is called I-incomplete.

Definition (2.7)[I-fixed point]: [10] Let X be a non-empty set and $f: X \rightarrow X$ is an idempotent map. A map $h: X \rightarrow X$ is said to have an I-fixed point $x \in X$ if $(fh)(x) = f(x)$.

Theorem (2.8): [10] Let (X, d, f) be an I-g.m.s. Then

- (i) $d(x, x) = 0, \forall x \in X, i. e., \forall x, y \in X, x = y \implies d(x, y) = 0$.
- (ii) $d(x, f(y)) = d(f(x), y) = d(f(x), f(y)) = d(f(y), f(x)) \geq d(x, y), d(y, x), \forall x, y \in X$.
- (iii) $d(x, f(x)) = 0, \forall x \in X$.

Proof: Trivial.

Definition (2.9)[Coincidence point]: Let X be a non-empty set and $S, T: X \rightarrow X$. A point $x \in X$ is called a coincidence point of S and T if $S(x) = T(x)$ and if $S(x) = T(x) = w$, then w is called a point of coincidence of S and T .

Also S and T are said to be weakly compatible if $(ST)(x) = (TS)(x)$ whenever $S(x) = T(x)$.

3. Main Results

Theorem (3.1) Let (X, d) be a g.m.s. and $S, T: X \rightarrow X$ such that $(X) \subset T(X)$. Let $(T(X), d)$ be a complete g.m.s.

$$\text{Let } \psi(d(S(x), S(y))) \leq \psi(M(T(x), T(y))) - \phi(M(T(x), T(y))), \forall x, y \in X \quad (1)$$

Where ψ, ϕ are continuous with $\psi(t) = 0$ iff $t = 0, \phi(t) = 0$ iff $t = 0$ and ψ is non-decreasing, and

$$M(T(x), T(y)) = \max\{d(T(x), T(y)), d(T(x), S(x)), d(T(y), S(y)), d(T(y), S(x)), d(S(x), S(y))\}.$$

Then S, T have a unique point of coincidence in X . Moreover, if S and T are weakly compatible, then S, T have a unique common fixed point.

Proof: Let u and v be points of coincidence of S and T in X . then there exists x, y in X , Such that $S(x) = T(x) = u$ and $S(y) = T(y) = v$ (2)

Now from (1) we get,

$$\psi(d(u, v)) = \psi(d(s(x), S(y))) \leq \psi(M(T(x), T(y))) - \phi(M(T(x), T(y))). \quad (3)$$

where

$$M(T(x), T(y)) = \max. M(d(u, v), d(u, u), d(v, v), d(v, u), d(u, v) = d(u, v))$$

Therefore (3) becomes

$$\psi(d(u, v)) \leq \psi(d(u, v) - \phi(d(u, v)) < \psi(d(u, v)) < \psi(d(u, v) \text{ if } d(u, v) > 0$$

which is not possible. therefore $d(u, v) = 0$. Therefore $u = v$.

Therefore, If S and T have one point of coincidence, there it is unique.

Let u be the point of coincidenoc of S and T .then there exists $x \in X$. Such that $S(x) = T(x) = u$ (4)

Since S and T are weakly compatible, we have $(ST)(x) = (TS)(x)$

$$\Rightarrow S(u) = T(u) = u \text{ (say)} \quad (5)$$

Since S and T have unique point of coincidence, from (4) and (5) we have $u = v$

$$\text{Therefore } S(u) = u = T(u) \quad (6)$$

So that u is a common fixed point of S and T . Let w be any common fixed point of S and T .

$$\text{Then } S(w) = w = T(w) \quad (7)$$

$\Rightarrow w$ is a point of coincidence of S and T . Since S and T have a unique point of concidence, from (6) and (7) we have $u = w$. Therefore S and T have unique common fixed point. If S and T have a common fixed point. Then it is unique.

Let $x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary since $S(x) \subset T(x)$. Define two scquences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ in X as follows:

$$y_0 = S(x_0) = T(x_1) \text{ (Since } j_0 = S(x_0) \subset S(x) \subset T(x) \text{)}$$

There exist $x_1 \in X$ such that $S(x_0) = T(x_1)$

$$y_1 = S(x_1) = T(x_2)$$

.....
.....

Therefore, $\forall n \in N \cup \{0\}$, $y_n = S(x_n) = T(x_{n+1})$

If $y_n = y_{n-1}$ for some $n \in N$, Then $y_{n-1} = S(x_{n-1})$

$\Rightarrow T(x_n) = y_n = S(x_n)$ So that y_{n-1} is the coincidence point of S and T.

Let $y_0 \neq y_{n-1}$, $\forall n \in N$

If $y_n = S(x_n) = S(x_{n+1}) = (y_{n+1})$ for some $n \geq 0, p \geq 2$ then

$$y_n = S(x_n) = T(x_{n+1}) = S(x_{n+p}) = T(x_{n+p+1}) = y_{n+p}$$

So that, at time of construction of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$, we choose $x_{n+p+1} = x_{n+1}, \forall n \geq 0$

In this case, from (1) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(y_n, y_{n+1})) &= \psi(d(S(x_n), S(x_{n+1}))) \\ &\leq \psi(M(T(x_n), T(x_{n+1}))) = \phi(M(T(x_n), T(x_{n+1}))) \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Where $M(T(x_n), T(x_{n+1})) = \text{Max}\{d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(y_n, y_{n+1}), d(y_3) = \text{Max}\{d(y_{n+1}, y_n), d(y_n, y_{n+1})\}$

If $M(T(x_n), T(x_{n+1})) = d(y_n, y_{n+1})$, Then from (8)

$$\text{We have } \psi(d(y_n, y_{n+1})) \leq \psi(d(y_n, y_{n+1}), \psi(d(y_n, y_{n+1})) \leq \psi(d(y_n, y_{n+1})) - \psi(d(y_n, y_{m+1}))$$

$$\Rightarrow \phi(d(y_n, y_{n+1})) = 0 \Rightarrow d(y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0$$

$\Rightarrow y_n = y_{n+1}$ which is not true.

Therefore (8) becomes,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(y_n, y_{n+1})) &\leq \psi(d(y_{n-1}, y_n)) - \phi(d(y_{n-1}, y_n)) \leq \psi(d(y_{n-1}, y_n)) \\ \Rightarrow d(y_n, y_{n+1}) &\leq d(y_{n-1}, y_n), \forall n \in N \text{ (since } \psi \text{ is non - decreasing)} \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

Therefore $\{d(y_n, y_{n+1})\}$ is a decreasing sequence of non-negative sequence of real numbers so that it wis converges to some real number $r(\geq 0)$. Let $r > 0$

Since ϕ, ψ are continuous, from (9) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(r) \leq \psi(r) - \phi(r) < \psi(r) \text{ since } r > 0 \Rightarrow \phi(r) < 0 \text{ a contradiction. Therefore } r = 0 \text{ therefore} \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0 \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

Now from (1) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(d(y_n, y_{n+1})) &= \psi(d(S(x_n), S(x_{n+2}))) \\ &\leq \psi(M(T(x_n), T(x_{n+2}))) - \phi(M(T(x_n), T(x_{n+2}))) \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

Therefore $\{d(y_n, y_{n+1})\}$ is a decreasing sequence of non-negative real number so that it converges to some real number $r(\geq 0)$. Let $r > 0$.

Since ψ, ϕ are continuous, from (8) we get $\psi(r) \leq \psi(r) - \phi(r) < \psi(r)$

(Since $r > 0 \Rightarrow \phi(r) > 0$) a contradiction. Therefore $r = 0$ Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0$$

Now from (1) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \Psi(d(y_n, y_{n+1})) &= \psi(d(S(x_n), S(x_{n+1}))) \\ &\leq \psi(M(T(x_n), T(x_{n+2}))) - \phi(M(T(x_n), T(x_{n+2}))) \end{aligned}$$

where $M(T(x_n), T(x_{n+2})) = \max\{d(y_{n+1}, y_{n-1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}),$

$$d(y_{n+1}, y_n), d(y_n, y_{n+2})\}$$

$$\Rightarrow \max\{d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(y_n, y_{n+1})\},$$

$$[\text{Since } d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq d(y_{n-1}, y_n)].$$

Let $M(T(x_n), T(x_{n+1})) = d(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1})$ Then (11) becomes

$$\psi(d(y_{n-1}, y_n)) = \psi(d(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1})) - \phi(d(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1})) \leq \psi(d(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1})) \tag{12}$$

$\Rightarrow d(y_n, y_{n+2}) \leq d(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}), \forall n \in N$ in that $\{d(y_n, y_{n+2})\}$ is a decreasing sequence of non-negative real numbers and hence it converges to some real number $r(\geq 0)$

$$\text{If } y_n = S(x_n) = T(x_{n+1}) = S(x_{n+p}) = T(x_{n+p+1})$$

So that, at the time of construction of the sequence $\{x_n\}$ and $\{y_n\}$, we choose

$$\begin{aligned} x_{n+p+1} &= x_{n+1}, \forall n \geq 0. \text{ in this case from (1), we get,} \\ \psi(d(y_n, y_{n+1})) &= \psi(d(S(x_n), S(x_{n+1}))) \\ &\leq \psi(M(T(x_n), T(x_{n+1}))) - \phi(M(T(x_n), T(x_{n+1}))) \end{aligned}$$

Where $M(T(x_n), T(x_{n+1})) = \max\{d(y_{n+1}, y_n), d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(y_n, y_{n+1}), d(y_n, y_{n+1})\}$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \max\{d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(y_n, y_{n+1})\} \\ \text{If } M(T(x_n), T(x_{n+1})) &= d(y_n, y_{n+1}), \text{ then from (7) we get,} \\ \psi(d(y_n, y_{n+1})) &\leq \psi(d(y_n, y_{n+1})) - \psi(d(y_n, y_{n+1})) \\ \Rightarrow \phi(d(y_n, y_{n+1})) &= 0 \Rightarrow d(y_n, y_{n+1}) = 0 \\ \Rightarrow y_n &= y_{n+1} \text{ which is not the case.} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $M(T(x_n), T(x_{n+1})) = d(y_{n+1}, y_n)$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Therefore (8) becomes, } \psi(d(y_n, y_{n+1})) &\leq \psi(d(y_{n-1}, y_n) - \phi(d(y_{n-1}, y_n))) \\ &\leq \psi(d(y_{n-1}, y_n)) \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq d(y_{n-1}, y_n), \forall n \in N$ (Since, ψ is non-decreasing).

Where $M(T(x_n), T(x_{n+2}))$

$$\begin{aligned} &= \max\{d(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}), d(y_{n+1}, y_n), d(y_n, y_{n+2})\} \\ &= \max\{d(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}), d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(y_n, y_{n+2})\} \\ &\quad \{\text{since } d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) \leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq d(y_{n-1}, y_n)\} \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } M(T(x_n), T(x_{n+2})) &= d(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}) \text{ Then (10) becomes} \\ \psi(d(y_n, y_{n+2})) &\leq \psi(d(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1})) - \phi(d(y_n, y_{n+1})) \\ &\leq \psi(d(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1})) \end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow d(y_n, y_{n+1}) \leq d(y_{n-1}, y_{n+1}), \forall n \in N$ in that $[d(y_n, y_{n+2})]$ is a decreasing sequence of Non-negative real numbers and hence it converges to some real number and hence it converges to some real number $r(\geq 0)$

Let $r > 0$ Now by continuing of ϕ, ψ from (12) we get

$$\psi(r) = \psi(r) - \phi(r) \leq \psi(r) \text{ (Since } r > 0 \Rightarrow \phi(r) > 0 \text{ a contradiction.)}$$

Therefore $r = 0$ therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+2}) = 0$ in this case

Let $M(T(x_n), T(x_{n+2})) = d(y_{n-1}, y_n)$, then (12) becomes.

$$\psi(d(y_n, y_{n+2})) \leq \psi(d(y_{n-1}, y_n)) - \phi(d(y_{n-1}, y_n)).$$

Let $\psi(T(x_n), T(x_{n+1})) = d(y_{n-1}, y_n)$ Then (12) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(y_n, y_{n+2})) &\leq \psi(d(y_{n-1}, y_n)) - \phi(d(y_{n-1}, y_n)) \\ \Rightarrow 0 &\leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(d(y_n, y_{n+2})) \leq \psi(0) - \phi(0) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

(by (10), continuity of ϕ, ψ and since $\phi(0) = 0 = \psi(0)$)

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(d(y_n, y_{n+2})) &= 0, \text{ in this case} \\ \Rightarrow \psi\left(\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+2})\right) &= 0 \text{ (by property of } \psi \text{)} \end{aligned}$$

Let $M(T(x_n), T(x_{n+2})) = d(y_n, y_{n+2})$. Then (11) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(y_n, y_{n+2})) &\leq \psi(d(y_n, y_{n+2})) - \phi(d(y_n, y_{n+2})) \\ \Rightarrow \phi(d(y_n, y_{n+2})) &= 0 \Rightarrow d(y_n, y_{n+2}) = 0 \text{ (by property of } \phi \text{)} \\ \Rightarrow y_n &= y_{n+2} \text{ which is not case.} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, in any admissible case, we have
 $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+2}) = 0$ (13)

$$\text{Let } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+p}) \leq d(y_n, y_{n+p-1}) + d(y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}) + d(y_{n+p}, y_{n+p+1}) \rightarrow 0$$

by (13) and (10) Therefore

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+p+1}) = 0. \text{ Therefore by mathematical induction,}$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, y_{n+p}) = 0 \text{ for any integer } p \geq 1. \text{ Therefore } \{y_n\} \text{ is cauchy in } X.$$

Now for all $n \in N \cup \{0\}$, $y_n = S(x_n) = T(x_{n+1}) \in T(X)$

Therefore $\{y_n\}$ is couchy in $\{T(x), d\}$, So that $\{y_n\}$ converges to some point $u \in T(x)$.

Now $u \in T(x) \Rightarrow u = T(v)$ for some $v \in XM(T(x_n)), T(U)$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(T(x_n), T(v)) = \max. \{0, 0, d(u, s(v)), 0, d(u, s(v))\} = d(u, s(v))$$

$$\text{from (1) we get } \psi(d(s(x_n), s(v))) \leq \psi(M(T(x_n), T(v))) - \phi(M(T(x_n), T(v))) \quad (14)$$

Where $M(T(x_n), T(v)) =$
 $\max\{d(y_{n-1}, u), d(y_{n-1}, y_n), d(u, s(v)), d(u, y_n), d(y_n, s(v))\}$ (15)

Now $d(y_n, s(v)) \leq d(y_n, y_{n-1}) + d(y_{n-1}, u) + d(u, s(v))$
 $\leq d(y_{n-1}, y_n) + d(y_{n-1}, u) + d(u, y_{n-1}) + d(y_{n-1}, y_n) + d(y_n, s(v))$
 $\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, s(v)) \leq 0 + 0 + d(u, s(v)) \leq 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(y_n, s(v))$
 (by (10) and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = u$)
 $\Rightarrow u = s(v) \Rightarrow T(v) = s(v)$ (16)

from (16) we get,

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(T(x_n), T(v)) = \max.\{0, 0, d(u, S(v)), 0, d(u, S(v))\} = d(u, S(v))$
 (17)

(by (10), (16)), Therefore from (14) we get

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(d(y_n, S(v))) \leq \psi(d(u, S(v))) - \phi(d(u, S(v))) \dots$ (by continuity of ϕ, Ψ)
 $\Rightarrow 0 \leq \psi(d(u, S(v))) \leq \psi(d(u, S(v))) - \phi(d(u, S(v)))$ (by continuity of ψ and 16)
 $\Rightarrow \phi(d(u, S(v))) = 0 \Rightarrow d(u, S(v)) = 0$ (by property of ϕ) $\Rightarrow u = S(v) \Rightarrow T(v) = S(v)$

Let $w = T(v) = S(u)$, then w is a point of coincidence of S and T and hence it is unique.(already proved)

Let S and T be weak compatible. Then we have already proved w is a unique. Common fixed point of S and T

Definition (3.2) Let $f, S, T: X \rightarrow X$, where X is a nonempty set and f is idempotent.

(a) A point $x \in X$ is called an I-coincidence point of S and T (with respect of f) If $(fs)(x) = (fT)(x)$ and if $(fs)(x) = (fT)(x) = w$ then w is called a point of I-coincidence of S and T .

(b) S and T are said to be weakly I-compatible. If $(fSfT)(x) = (fTfS)(x)$ whenever $(fS)(x) = (fT)(x)$

Theorem (3.3) Let (X, d, f) be an I-g.m.s. Let $S, T; X \rightarrow X$ such that $S(X) \subset T(X)$.

Let $(T(x), d, f)$ is an I-complete I-g.m.s. provided $(fT)(x) \subset T(x)$.

$$\text{Let } \psi(d(fs)(x), s(y)) \leq \psi(M((fT)(x), T(y))) - \phi(M((fT)(x), T(y))), \forall x, y \in X \quad (1)$$

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{where } \psi, \phi \in \psi \text{ nondecreasing and } M((fT)(x), T(y)) \\ &= \max. \{d((fT)(x), T(y)), d((fT)(x), S(s)) \\ &d((fT)(y), S(y)), d((fT)(y), S(x)), d((fS)(x), S(y))\} \end{aligned}$$

Then S and T have an I-unique point of I-unique common I-fixed point.

Proof: Let u and v be points of I-coincidence of S and T. then there exists x, y in X Such that
 $(fS)(x) = (fT)(x) = u$ and $(fS)(y) = (fT)(y) = v$
 (2)

Now from (1) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(f(u), v)) &= (d(f(u), f(v)) = \psi(d((fS)(x), (fS)(y))) \text{ (by (2))} \\ &= \psi(d(fS(x), S(y))) \leq \psi(M((fT)(x) \cdot T(y))) - \phi(M((fT)(x), T(y))) \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

Where $M((fT)(x), T(y)) = \max\{d(f(u), v), d(f(u), v), d(f(u), v), d(f(v), u), d(f(u), v) = d(f(u), v)$

Therefore (3) becomes

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(f(u), v)) &\leq \psi(d(f(u), v) - \phi(d(f(v), v))) \\ &< \psi(d(f(u), v)), \text{ If } d(f(u), v) > 0 \end{aligned}$$

Which is not possible therefore $d(f(u), v) = 0$

Therefore $f(u) = f(v)$. Therefore S and T have a point of I-coincidence then it is I-unique.

Let u be the I-unique point of I-coincidence of S and T. then there exists x in X such that

$$(fS)(x) = (fT)(x) = u \quad (4)$$

Since S and T are weakly I-compatible,

$$\begin{aligned} &\text{We have } (fSfT)(x) = (fTfS)(x) \\ &\Rightarrow (fS)(u) = (fT)(u) = v \text{ (say)} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

Since S and T have I-unique point of I-coincidence then from (4) and (5), $f(u) = f(v)$

$$\text{Therefore } (fS)(u) = f(u) = f(T)(u) \quad (6)$$

As u is the common fixed point of S and T.

Let w be any common I-fixed point of S and T . Then $(f(x))(x) = f(w) = (fT)(w)$

$$\text{Then } (fS)(w) = f(w) = (fT)(w) \tag{7}$$

$f(w)$ is a point of I-coincidence of S and T .
 S and T have I-unique point of coincidence,

Therefore S and T have a I-unique common fixed point. Therefore, If S and T have a common Fixed point. Let $x_0 \in X$ be arbitrary, since $S(x) \subset T(x)$ define two sequences $\{x_n\}, \{y_n\}$ in X as follows $y_0 = S(x_0) = T(x_1)$ Since $y_0 = S(x_0) \in S(x) \subset T(x)$, there exist $x_1 \in X$ such that $S(x_0) = T(x_1)$

$$y_1 = S(x_1) = T(x_2) \dots \text{and so on}$$

$$\text{Hence, } \forall n \in N \cup \{0\}, \forall n = S(x_n) = T(x_{n+1})$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_n) &= f(y_{n-1}) \text{ for some } n \in N, \text{ then} \\ f(y_{n-1}) &= (fS)(x_{n-1}) = (fT)(x_n) = f(y_n) = (fS)(x_n) \end{aligned}$$

So that $f(y_{n-1})$ is the I-unique point of coincidence of S and T , or x_n is the I-unique I-coincidence point and T of S and T

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Let } f(y_n) &\neq f(y_{n-1}), \forall n \in N. \\ \text{If } f(y_0) &= (fS)(x_n) = (fS)(x_{n+p}) = f(y_{n+p}) \end{aligned}$$

for some $n \geq 0, p \geq 2$ then

$$\begin{aligned} f(y_n) &= (fS)(x_n) = (fT)(x_{n+1}) = (fS)(x_{n+p}) = (fT)(x_{n+u+1}) \\ &= f(y_{n+u}) \text{ so that at the time of construction of the sequence} \end{aligned}$$

We choose $x_{n+p+1} = x_{n+1}, \forall n \geq 0$, in this case, from (1) we get

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(f(y_n), y_{n+1})) &= \psi(d((fS)(x_n), S(x_{n+1}))) \\ &\leq \psi(M((fT)(x_n), T(x_{n+1}))) - \varphi(M((fT)(x_n), T(x_{n+1}))) \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

Where $M((fT)(x_n), T(x_{n+1}))$.

$$= \max. \{d(f(y_{n-1}), y_n), d(f(y_{n-1}), y_n), d(f(y_n), y_{n+1}), d(f(y_n), y_n), d(f(y_n), (y_{n+1}))\}$$

If $M((fT)(x_n), T(x_{n+1})) = d(f(y_n), y_{n+1})$, then from (8) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(d(f(y_n), y_{n+1})) \leq \psi(d(f(y_n), y_{n+1})) - \phi(d(f(y_n), y_{n+1})) \\ \Rightarrow & \psi(d(f(y_n), y_{n+1})) = 0 \Rightarrow d(f(y_n), y_{n+1}) = 0 \\ \Rightarrow & f(y_n) = f(y_{n+1}) \text{ which is not the case.} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $M((fT)(x_n), T(x_{n+1})) = d(f(y_{n-1}), y_n)$

Therefore (8) becomes.

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(f(y_n), y_{n+1})) & \leq \psi(d(y_{n-1}), y_n) - \phi(d(f(y_{n-1}), y_n)) \leq \psi(d(f(y_{n-1}), y_n)) \quad (9) \\ \Rightarrow & d(f(y_n), y_{n+1}) \leq d(f(y_{n-1}), y_n), \forall n \in N \text{ (since } \psi \text{ is non decreasing.)} \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\{d(f(y_n), y_{n+1})\}$ is a decreasing sequence of non-negative real number so that it comes to some real number $r(\geq 0)$ a contradiction therefore $r = 0$

$$\text{Therefore } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f(y_n), y_{n+1}) = 0 \quad (10)$$

Now from (1) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(f(y_n), y_{n+2})) & = \psi(d(fS)(x_n), S(x_{n+2})) \\ & \leq \psi(M((fT)(x_n), T(x_{n+2}))) - \phi(M((fT)(x_n), T(x_{n+2}))) \quad (11) \end{aligned}$$

Where $M((fT)(x_n), T(x_{n+2}))$

$$\begin{aligned} & = \max. \{d(f(y_{n-1}), y_{n+1}), d(f(y_{n-1}), y_n) \\ & \quad d(f(y_{n+1}), y_{n+2}), d(f(y_{n+1}), y_n), d(f(y_n), y_{n+2})\} \\ & = \max. \{d(f(y_{n-1}), y_{n+1}), d(f(y_{n-1}), y_n), d(f(y_n), y_{n+2})\} \\ & \quad (\text{since } d(f(y_{n+1}), y_{n+2}) \leq d(f(y_n), y_{n+1}) \leq d(f(y_{n-1}), y_n)) \\ \text{Let } M((fT)(x_n), T(x_{n+2})) & = d(f(y_{n-1}), y_{n+1}) - \phi(d(f(y_{n-1}), y_{n+1})) \\ & \leq \psi(d(f(y_{n-1}), y_{n+1})) \\ \Rightarrow d(f(y_n), y_{n+2}) & \leq d(f(y_{n-1}), y_{n+1}), \forall n \in N \quad (12) \end{aligned}$$

So that $\{d(f(y_n), y_{n+2})\}$ is a decreasing sequence of nonege to some real number $r(\geq 0)$.

Let $r > 0$ Now by continuity of ϕ, ψ , from (12) we get

$$\psi(r) \leq \psi(r) - \phi(r) < \psi(r) \text{ (since, } r > 0 \Rightarrow \phi(r) > 0 \text{) a contradiction therefore } r = 0$$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f(y_n), y_{n+2}) = 0 \text{ in this case. Let } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f(y_n), y_{n+2}) = 0 \quad (13)$$

Then (12) becomes,

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(d(f(y_n), y_{n+2})) \leq \psi(d(f(y_{n-1}), y_n)) - \phi(d(f(y_{n-1}), y_n)) \\ \Rightarrow & 0 \leq \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(d(f(y_n), y_{n+2})) \leq \psi(0) - \phi(0) = 0 \end{aligned}$$

(by (10), continuity of ϕ, ψ and since $\phi(0) = 0 = \psi(0)$)

$$\begin{aligned} & \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d(f(y_n), y_{n+2})) = 0 \text{ in this case} \\ & \Rightarrow \psi \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (d(f(y_n), y_{n+2})) = 0 \text{ (by continuity of } \psi \text{)} \\ & \Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f(y_n), y_{n+2}) = 0 \text{ (by property of } \psi \text{)} \end{aligned}$$

Let $M((fT)(x_n), T(x_{n+2})) = d(f(y_n), y_{n+2})$

Then (10) becomes.

$$\begin{aligned} & \psi(d(f(y_n), y_{n+2})) \leq \psi(d(f(y_n), y_{n+2})) - \phi(d(f(y_0), y_{n+1})) \\ \Rightarrow & (\phi(d(f(y_n), y_{n+2})) - \psi(d(f(y_n), y_{n+2}))) = \phi(d(f(y_0), y_{n+1})) \\ \Rightarrow & d(f(y_n), y_{n+2}) = 0 \text{ (by property of } \phi \text{)} \\ \Rightarrow & f(y_n) = f(y_{n+2}) \text{ which is not the case,} \end{aligned}$$

We have $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f(y_n), y_{n+2}) = 0$

Let $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f(y_n), y_{n+p}) = 0$, for any integer $p \geq 2$

Now $d(f(y_n), y_{n+p+1}) \leq d(f(y_n), y_{n+p-1}) + d(f(y_{n+p-1}), y_{n+p}) + d(f(y_{n+p}), y_{n+p+1}) \rightarrow$
 a as
 $n \rightarrow \infty$ (by (12), (10)), Therefore $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f(y_n), y_{n+p+1}) = 0$

Therefore, by mathematical induction.

$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f(y_n), y_{n+p}) = 0$, for any integer $p \geq 1$

Therefore $\{y_n\}$ is I-cauchy in X . Now for $n \in N \cup \{0\}$, $y_n = \phi S(x_n) = T(x_{n+1}) \in T(x)$
 Therefore $\{y_n\}$ is clearly I-Cauchy in $(T(x), d, f)$. So that $\{y_n\}$ I-converges to some point $u \in T$

Now $u \in T(x) \Rightarrow u = T(v)$ for some $v \in X$

from (1) we get,

$$\begin{aligned} \psi(d(fS)(x_n), S(v)) & \leq \psi(M((fT)(x_n), T(v))) \\ & \quad - \phi(M(fT)(x_n), T(v)) \end{aligned}$$

Where $M((fT)(x_n), T(v)) = \max\{d(f(y_{n-1}), v), d(f(y_{n-1}), y_n), d(f(u), S(v)), d(f(u), y_n), d(f(y_n), S(u))\}$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Now } d(f(y_n), S(u)) &\leq d(f(y_n), y_{n-1}) + d(f(y_{n-1}), u) + d(f(u), S(v)) \\ &\leq d(f(y_{n-1}), y_n) + d(f(y_{n-1}), u) + d(f(u), y_{n-1}) + d(f(y_{n-1}), y_n) + d(f(y_n), S(v)) \\ \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f(y_n), S(v)) &\leq 0 + 0 + d(f(u), S(v)) \leq 0 + 0 + 0 + 0 + \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f(y_n), S(v)) \\ &\text{(by (10) and } \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} y_n = u \text{)} \end{aligned}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(f(y_n), S(v)) = d(f(u), S(v))$$

from (14), we get

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} M(f(x_n), T(v)) &= \max. \{0, 0, d(f(u), S(v)), 0, d(f(u), S(v))\} \\ &= d(f(u), S(v)) \end{aligned}$$

by (10), (13), Therefore from (13) we get,

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \psi(d(f(g_n), S(v)) \leq \psi(d(f(u), S(v)) - \phi(d(f(u), S(v))))$$

(by continuity of ϕ, ψ)

$$\Rightarrow 0 \leq \psi(d(f(u), S(v)) \leq \psi(d(f(u), S(v)) - \phi(d(f(u), S(v)))) \text{ (by property of } \phi \text{)}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \Rightarrow f(u) &= (fS)(v) \\ \Rightarrow (fT)(v) &= (fS)(u) \end{aligned}$$

Let $W = (fT)(v) = (fS)(v)$.

Then clearly $f(w) = (fT)(v) = (fS)(v)$.

Then w is a point of I-coincidence of S and T and hence it is I-unique (already proved). Let S and T be weak I-compatible. Then we have already proved that w is an I-unique common I-fixed point of S and T .

Conclusion:

Further study may be continued for generalization and extension of various contractive conditions and fixed point results.

References

- [1] Alber Y. I. Guerre, Delabriere S.; Principles of weakly contractive maps in Hilbert spaces. In: Gohberg, I., Lyubich, Y. (eds.), *New Results in Operator Theory, Advances and Appl*, vol. 98, pp. 7–22. Birkhäuser, Basel (1997).
- [2] Arshad M., Ahmad J., Karapinar E.; Some Common Fixed Point Results in Rectangular Metric Spaces, *Intern. J. Analysis*, Article ID **307234**. (2013).
- [3] Branciari A.; A fixed point theorem of Banach-Caccioppoli type on a class of generalized metric spaces, *Publ. Math. Debrecen*, **57**, 1-2 (2000), 31-37.
- [4] Doric D.; Common fixed point for generalized (ψ, ϕ) -weak contractions. *Appl. Math. Lett.* **22**, 1896–1900 (2009).
- [5] Dutta P.N., Choudhury B.S.; A generalization of contraction principle in metric spaces. *Fixed Point Theory Appl.*, Article ID **406368** (2008)
- [6] Jungck G.; Compatible mappings and common fixed points, *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.* **9** (1986), 771–779.
- [7] Jungck G. Rhoades B. E.; Fixed point theorems for occasionally weakly compatible mappings, *Fixed Point Theory* **7** (2006), 286–296
- [8] Raswan R. A. and Sadeek A. M.; A common fixed point theorem in complete metric spaces, *Southwest journal of pure and applied mathematics*, **01** (1996), 6-10.
- [9] Rhoades B.E.; Some theorems on weakly contractive maps. *Nonlinear Anal. TMA*, **47**(4), pp. 2683–2693 (2001).
- [10] Suman S. and Biswas J.; I-generalized metric spaces and some fixed point theorems, *Journal of The Gujarat Research Society*, **Vol-21**, Issue-16 (2019), 3660-3672.
- [11] Sunny K., Ahmad A., Pandey H. K.; A common Fixed point theorem for compatible map in 2-metric space, *Design Engg.(Toronto)*, Issue 7, pp. 15868-879.
- [12] Tiwari S. K. and Pandey H. K.; Rational type fixed point theorem in 2-metric space, *South East Asian J. of Math. Maths. Sci.* vol. 16, No. 3(2020), pp. 231-238.
- [13] Tiwari S. K. and Pandey H. K.; Fixed point theorem in S-metric space, *Pan-American Mathematical Journal*, Vol. 31(2021), No. 1, pp. 19-28.