

ROUGH SPHERICAL FUZZY BI-IDEAL IN NEAR RINGS

V.S.Subha¹,S.Lavanya ², C.B.Aswini³

Assistant professor (deputed),PG and Research department of mathematics ,Govt Arts college C.Multur Chidambaram¹

Assistant professor,Department of mathematics,Bharathi womens college chennai²

Department of mathematics ,Annamalai university,Annamalai nagar,608002³

ABSTRACT

This paper studies relationship between spherical fuzzy set, rough set and near ring. We define spherical fuzzy bi-ideal in near ring and pro-posed rough spherical fuzzy bi-ideal in near ring. Investigate some interesting properties of rough spherical fuzzy bi-ideal.

Keywords: Spherical fuzzy set, Spherical fuzzy bi-ideal, Near-ring, Rough set, rough fuzzy set, Rough spherical fuzzy set, Rough spherical fuzzy bi-ideal..

1.INTRODUCTION

Fuzzy [14] sets have a great progress in every scientific research area. After the introduction of ordinary fuzzy sets, new extensions have appeared one by one in the literature. Among these extensions, picture fuzzy sets [2], neutrosophic sets [10] and spherical fuzzy sets [5] are the members of the same class since any element in these sets is represented by a membership degree, a non-membership degree and a hesitancy degree assigned by independently. Spherical fuzzy sets have been proposed by Gundogdu and Kahraman [5]. The notion of rough sets was introduced by Pawlak [9] in the year 1982. The algebraic approach of rough sets was studied by many researchers. The basic idea of rough set is based upon the approximation of sets by a pair of sets known as the lower approximation and the upper approximation of a set. The lower and upper approximation operators are based on equivalence relation. The rest of the paper is organized as follows: Section 2 is full of information about the basics . In Section 3, we introduced the spherical fuzzy bi-ideal in near ring and discussed some interesting properties. At last in section 4 we combine rough set and spherical fuzzy set. Also we define rough spherical fuzzy bi-ideal in near ring.

2.PRELIMINARIES

We review some definitions that will be useful in our results. Throughout this paper let us denote \mathfrak{N} as near ring. Let Π be an equivalence relation on \mathfrak{N} . A congruence relation Π on S is said to be complete if $[a]_{\Pi}[b]_{\Pi} = [ab]_{\Pi}$.

Let (\mathfrak{N}, Π) be an approximation space. Let A be any nonempty subset of \mathfrak{N} . The sets

$$\Pi^-(A) = \{x \in \mathfrak{N}/[x]_{\Pi} \subseteq A\} \text{ and}$$

$$\Pi^+(A) = \{x \in \mathfrak{N}/[x]_{\Pi} \cap A \neq \emptyset\}$$

are called the lower and upper approximations of A . Then $\Pi(A) = (\Pi^-(A), \Pi^+(A))$ is called rough set in (S, Π) , iff $\Pi^-(A) \neq \Pi^+(A)$. A fuzzy subset of a nonempty set X is defined as a function $\beta : X \rightarrow [0, 1]$ Let Λ be a fuzzy subset of \mathfrak{N} . The fuzzy subsets of \mathfrak{N} defined by

$$\Pi^+(\Lambda)(x) = \bigvee_{a \in [x]_{\Pi}} \Lambda(a) \quad \text{and} \quad \Pi^-(\Lambda)(x) = \bigwedge_{a \in [x]_{\Pi}} \Lambda(a)$$

are called respectively, the Π -upper and Π -lower approximations of the fuzzy set Λ .

Then $\Pi(\Lambda) = (\Pi^-(\Lambda), \Pi^+(\Lambda))$ is called a rough fuzzy set of Λ with respect to Π if $\Pi^-(\Lambda) \neq \Pi^+(\Lambda)$.

Definition 2.1. [1] An intuitionistic fuzzy set defined on \aleph is an object having the form

$$I = \{(i, I_m(i), I_n(i)) : i \in \aleph\}$$

of each element $i \in \aleph$ to the set I respectively, and satisfies

$$0 \leq I_m + I_n \leq 1.$$

Definition 2.2. [6] Let \mathcal{E} be the SF set of the universe of U is defined by $\mathcal{E} = \{l, \langle \mathcal{E}_m(l), \mathcal{E}_n(l), \mathcal{E}_h(l) \rangle\}$

Where $\mathcal{E}_m(l) : U \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $\mathcal{E}_n(l) : U \rightarrow [0, 1]$, $\mathcal{E}_h(l) : U \rightarrow [0, 1]$ and

$$0 \leq \mathcal{E}_m^2(l) + \mathcal{E}_n^2(l) + \mathcal{E}_h^2(l) \leq 1 \text{ for every } l \in U$$

for each l , the numbers $\mathcal{E}_m(l)$, $\mathcal{E}_n(l)$ and $\mathcal{E}_h(l)$ are the degree of membership, non membership and hesitancy of l to \mathcal{E} , respectively.

Example 2.3. Let $\aleph = \{p, q, r, s\}$ be the universe. A SF set \mathcal{E} of \aleph is defined by $\mathcal{E}_m(i) = \{0.6, 0.4, 0.2, 0.4\}$, $\mathcal{E}_n(i) = \{0.6, 0.5, 0.3, 0.6\}$ and $\mathcal{E}_h(i) = \{0.3, 0.4, 0.5, 0.1\}$ where $i = p, q, r, s$.

3. SPHERICAL FUZZY BI-IDEAL (SFBI) IN NEAR RINGS

This section deals with notion of SFBI in near-ring \aleph . Also we prove the intersection of two SFBI is also a SFBI in near ring \aleph .

Definition 3.1. A SF set $\mathcal{E} = \langle \mathcal{E}_m(l), \mathcal{E}_n(l), \mathcal{E}_h(l) \rangle$ in \aleph is called a SFBI of \aleph if the resulting conditions are true:

$$(1) \mathcal{E}_m(i - j) \geq \mathcal{E}_m(i) \wedge \mathcal{E}_m(j)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_n(i - j) \geq \mathcal{E}_n(i) \wedge \mathcal{E}_n(j)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_h(i - j) \leq \mathcal{E}_h(i) \vee \mathcal{E}_h(j)$$

$$(2) \mathcal{E}_m(ijk) \geq \mathcal{E}_m(i) \wedge \mathcal{E}_m(k)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_n(ijk) \geq \mathcal{E}_n(i) \wedge \mathcal{E}_n(k)$$

$$\mathcal{E}_h(ijk) \leq \mathcal{E}_h(i) \vee \mathcal{E}_h(k) \text{ for all } i, j, k \in \aleph$$

Example 3.2. Let $\aleph = \{z_0, z_1, z_2, z_3\}$ be a near-ring with the following multiplication table

TABLE 1

+	z_0	z_1	z_2	z_3
z_0	z_0	z_1	z_2	z_3
z_1	z_1	z_0	z_3	z_2
z_2	z_2	z_3	z_1	z_0
z_3	z_3	z_2	z_0	z_1

TABLE 2

\cdot	z_0	z_1	z_2	z_3
z_0	z_0	z_0	z_0	z_0
z_1	z_0	z_0	z_0	z_0

z_2	z_0	z_0	z_0	z_0
z_3	z_0	z_1	z_2	z_3

Let \mathcal{E} be a SF set of \mathfrak{N} defined by,

$$\mathcal{E}_m(z_0) = \mathcal{E}_m(z_1) = \mathcal{E}_m(z_1) = \mathcal{E}_m(z_2) = 0.3$$

$$\mathcal{E}_n(z_0) = \mathcal{E}_n(z_2) = 0.6, \mathcal{E}_n(z_1) = \mathcal{E}_n(z_3) = 0.5$$

$$\mathcal{E}_h(z_0) = 0.4, \mathcal{E}_h(z_1) = \mathcal{E}_h(z_2) = \mathcal{E}_h(z_3) = 0.6$$

Then \mathcal{E} is a SFBI of \mathfrak{N}

Theorem 3.3. Let $\mathcal{L} = \langle \mathcal{L}_m, \mathcal{L}_n, \mathcal{L}_h \rangle$ and $\mathcal{K} = \langle \mathcal{K}_m, \mathcal{K}_n, \mathcal{K}_h \rangle$ are two SFBIs of \mathfrak{N} . If $\mathcal{L} \subset \mathcal{K}$ then $\mathcal{L} \cap \mathcal{K}$ is SSFBI of \mathfrak{N}

Proof. Since \mathcal{L} and \mathcal{K} are two SFBIs of \mathfrak{N} . Let $i, j, k \in \mathfrak{N}$. Then we first prove for membership function

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_m \cap \mathcal{K}_m)(i-j) &= \mathcal{L}_m(i-j) \wedge \mathcal{K}_m(i-j) \\ &\geq (\mathcal{L}_m(i) \wedge \mathcal{L}_m(j)) \wedge (\mathcal{K}_m(i) \wedge \mathcal{K}_m(j)) \\ &= (\mathcal{L}_m(i) \wedge \mathcal{K}_m(i)) \wedge (\mathcal{L}_m(j) \wedge \mathcal{K}_m(j)) \\ &= (\mathcal{L}_m \cap \mathcal{K}_m)(i) \wedge (\mathcal{L}_m \cap \mathcal{K}_m)(j) \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} (\mathcal{L}_m \cap \mathcal{K}_m)(ijk) &= \mathcal{L}_m(ijk) \wedge \mathcal{K}_m(ijk) \\ &\geq (\mathcal{L}_m(i) \wedge \mathcal{L}_m(k)) \wedge (\mathcal{K}_m(i) \wedge \mathcal{K}_m(k)) \\ &= (\mathcal{L}_m(i) \wedge \mathcal{K}_m(i)) \wedge (\mathcal{L}_m(k) \wedge \mathcal{K}_m(k)) \\ &= (\mathcal{L}_m \cap \mathcal{K}_m)(i) \wedge (\mathcal{L}_m \cap \mathcal{K}_m)(k) \end{aligned}$$

Consequently we can prove for non-membership function

$$(\mathcal{L}_n \cap \mathcal{K}_n)(i-j) \geq (\mathcal{L}_n \cap \mathcal{K}_n)(i) \wedge (\mathcal{L}_n \cap \mathcal{K}_n)(j)$$

$$(\mathcal{L}_n \cap \mathcal{K}_n)(ijk) \geq (\mathcal{L}_n \cap \mathcal{K}_n)(i) \wedge (\mathcal{L}_n \cap \mathcal{K}_n)(k)$$

Similarly we can prove for hesitancy function

$$(\mathcal{L}_h \cap \mathcal{K}_h)(i-j) \leq (\mathcal{L}_h \cap \mathcal{K}_h)(i) \vee (\mathcal{L}_h \cap \mathcal{K}_h)(j)$$

$$(\mathcal{L}_h \cap \mathcal{K}_h)(ijk) \leq (\mathcal{L}_h \cap \mathcal{K}_h)(i) \vee (\mathcal{L}_h \cap \mathcal{K}_h)(k)$$

Thus intersection of two SFBI is SFBI

Theorem 3.4 Arbitrary intersection of SFBI is also SFBI.

Proof. Let $\{\mathcal{P}^i = \langle \mathcal{P}_m^i, \mathcal{P}_n^i, \mathcal{P}_h^i \rangle, i \in I\}$ be a family of SFBI of \mathfrak{N} .

For any $\alpha, \beta, \gamma \in \mathfrak{N}$. We have

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_m^i(k) = \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_m^i(k), \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_n^i(k) = \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_n^i(k), \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_h^i(k) = \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_h^i(k)$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{consider } \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_m^i(\alpha - \beta) &= \inf_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_m^i(\alpha - \beta) \\ &\geq \inf_{i \in I} (\mathcal{P}_m^i(\alpha) \wedge \mathcal{P}_m^i(\beta)) \\ &= \inf_{i \in I} (\mathcal{P}_m^i(\alpha)) \wedge \inf_{i \in I} (\mathcal{P}_m^i(\beta)) \\ &= \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_m^i(\alpha) \wedge \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_m^i(\beta) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Also } \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_m^i(\alpha j \beta) &= \inf_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_m^i(\alpha j \beta) \\ &\geq \inf_{i \in I} (\mathcal{P}_m^i(\alpha) \wedge \mathcal{P}_m^i(\beta)) \\ &= \inf_{i \in I} (\mathcal{P}_m^i(\alpha)) \wedge \inf_{i \in I} (\mathcal{P}_m^i(\beta)) \\ &= \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_m^i(\alpha) \wedge \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_m^i(\beta) \end{aligned}$$

Consequently we can prove for

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_n^i(\alpha - \beta) \geq \inf_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_n^i(\alpha) \wedge \inf_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_n^i(\beta)$$

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_h^i(\alpha - \beta) \leq \inf_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_h^i(\alpha) \wedge \inf_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_h^i(\beta)$$

And

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_n^i(\alpha j \beta) \geq \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_n^i(\alpha) \wedge \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_n^i(\beta)$$

$$\bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_h^i(\alpha j \beta) \leq \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_h^i(\alpha) \wedge \bigcap_{i \in I} \mathcal{P}_h^i(\beta)$$

Definition 3.5. Let Θ be a mappings from a set A to B and \mathcal{F} be a SF on B . Then the preimage of \mathcal{F} under Θ denoted by $\Theta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}(x))$ is defined by

$\Theta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_m(x)) = \mathcal{F}_m(\Theta(x))$, $\Theta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_n(x)) = \mathcal{F}_n(\Theta(x))$ and $\Theta^{-1}(\mathcal{F}_h(x)) = \mathcal{F}_h(\Theta(x))$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{N}$.

Theorem 3.6. If $\Theta : \mathfrak{A} \rightarrow \mathfrak{B}$ be an onto homomorphism of \mathfrak{N} . Let \mathfrak{B} be a SF of B then $\Theta^{-1}(\mathfrak{B})$ is a SFBI of A .

Proof : Let $\alpha, \beta \in \mathfrak{A}$. Then

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta^{-1}(\mathfrak{B}_m)(\alpha - \beta) &= \mathfrak{B}_m(\Theta(\alpha - \beta)) \\ &= \mathfrak{B}_m(\Theta(\alpha) - \Theta(\beta)) \\ &\geq \min\{\mathfrak{B}_m(\Theta(\alpha)), \mathfrak{B}_m(\Theta(\beta))\} \\ &= \min\{\Theta^{-1}(\mathfrak{B}_m)(\alpha), \Theta^{-1}(\mathfrak{B}_m)(\beta)\} \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned} \Theta^{-1}(\mathfrak{B}_m)(\alpha k \beta) &= \mathfrak{B}_m(\Theta(\alpha k \beta)) \\ &= \mathfrak{B}_m(\Theta(\alpha)\Theta(k)\Theta(\beta)) \\ &\geq \min\{\mathfrak{B}_m(\Theta(\alpha)), \mathfrak{B}_m(\Theta(\beta))\} \\ &= \min\{\Theta^{-1}(\mathfrak{B}_m)(\alpha), \Theta^{-1}(\mathfrak{B}_m)(\beta)\} \end{aligned}$$

Hence proved

4.ROUGH SPERICAL FUZZY BI-IDEALS(RSFBI) IN NEAR -RINGS

In this section we introduce the new idea $\mathbb{R}\text{SFBI}$ in near ring \mathfrak{N} . Throughout this section let us denote Π the complete congruence relation on \mathfrak{N} .

Definition 4.1. Let $\mathcal{E} = \langle j / \mathcal{E}_m(j), \mathcal{E}_n(j), \mathcal{E}_h(j) \rangle$ be a SF set in \mathfrak{N} and Π be a congruence relation on \mathfrak{N} . Then $\mathbb{R}\text{SF}$ set of \mathcal{E} with respect to the approximation space (Π, \mathfrak{N}) is defined by $\Pi(\mathcal{E}) = (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}), \Pi^+(\mathcal{E}))$.

The lower approximation of \mathcal{E} is denoted by $\Pi^-(\mathcal{E})$ and defined as

$$\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}) = \langle j, \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m)(j), \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_n)(j), \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_h)(j) \mid j \in \mathfrak{N} \rangle$$

Where

$$\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m)(l) = \bigwedge_{s \in [l]_{\Pi}} \mathcal{E}_m(s), \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_n)(l) = \bigwedge_{s \in [l]_{\Pi}} \mathcal{E}_n(s), \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_h)(l) = \bigvee_{s \in [l]_{\Pi}} \mathcal{E}_h(s)$$

With the condition that

$$0 \leq (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m))^2 + (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_n))^2 + (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_h))^2 \leq 1$$

and the upper approximation of \mathcal{E} is denoted by $\Pi^+(\mathcal{E})$ and defined as

$$\Pi^+(\mathcal{E}) = \langle j, \Pi^+(\mathcal{E}_m)(j), \Pi^+(\mathcal{E}_n)(j), \Pi^+(\mathcal{E}_h)(j) \mid j \in \mathfrak{N} \rangle$$

$$\Pi^+(\mathcal{E}_m)(l) = \bigvee_{s \in [l]_{\Pi}} \mathcal{E}_m(s), \Pi^+(\mathcal{E}_n)(l) = \bigwedge_{s \in [l]_{\Pi}} \mathcal{E}_n(s), \Pi^+(\mathcal{E}_h)(l) = \bigwedge_{s \in [l]_{\Pi}} \mathcal{E}_h(s)$$

With the condition that

$$0 \leq (\Pi^+(\mathcal{E}_m))^2 + (\Pi^+(\mathcal{E}_n))^2 + (\Pi^+(\mathcal{E}_h))^2 \leq 1$$

Example 4.2. Let $\mathfrak{N} = \{L_1, L_2, L_3, L_4, L_5, L_6, L_7, L_8\}$ be the universe set and Π be the congruence relation on \mathfrak{N} . The equivalence classes of \mathfrak{N} are defined by

$$\mathfrak{N}/\Pi = \{\{L_1, L_6, L_8\}, \{L_2\}, \{L_3\}, \{L_4, L_5, L_7\}\}$$

Let \mathcal{E} be the SF set of \mathfrak{N} defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_m(x) = \{L_1 = 0.5$$

$$L_2 = P_7 = 0.4$$

$$L_3 = P_6 = 0.8$$

$$L_4 = 0.6$$

$$L_5 = 0.7$$

$$P_8 = 0.3$$

$$\mathcal{E}_n(x) = \{L_1 = L_5 = L_8 = 0.1$$

$$L_2 = 0.6 \quad L_3 = L_6 = 0.2$$

$$L_4 = 0.3$$

$$L_7 = 0.4$$

$$\mathcal{E}_h(x) = \{L_1 = 0.4$$

$$L_2 = L_8 = 0.2$$

$$L_3 = 0.5$$

$$L_4 = L_6 = 0.4$$

$$L_5 = 0.3$$

$$L_7 = 0.7$$

Then the lower approximation of \mathcal{E} for all $x \in \mathfrak{N}$ is given by

$$\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m) = \{L_1 = L_6 = L_8 = 0.3$$

$$L_2 = L_4 = L_5 = L_7 = 0.4$$

$$L_3 = 0.8$$

$$\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_n) = \{L_1 = L_4 = L_5 = L_6 = L_7 = L_8 = 0.1$$

$$L_2 = 0.6$$

$$L_3 = 0.2$$

$$\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_h) = \{L_1 = L_6 = L_8 = 0.6$$

$$L_2 = 0.2$$

$$L_3 = 0.5$$

$$L_4 = L_5 = L_7 = 0.7$$

Then the upper approximation of \mathcal{E} for all $x \in \mathfrak{N}$ is given by

$$\Pi^+(\mathcal{E}_m) = \{L_1 = L_3 = L_6 = L_8 = 0.8$$

$$L_2 = 0.4$$

$$L_4 = L_5 = L_7 = 0.7$$

$$\Pi^+(\mathcal{E}_n) = \{L_1 = L_3 = L_6 = L_8 = 0.2$$

$$L_2 = 0.6$$

$$L_4 = L_5 = L_7 = 0.4$$

$$\Pi^+(\mathcal{E}_h) = \{L_1 = L_2 = L_6 = L_8 = 0.2$$

$$L_3 = 0.5$$

$$L_4 = L_5 = L_7 = 0.5$$

Then $\Pi(\mathcal{E}) = (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}), \Pi^+(\mathcal{E}))$ is a $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{S}\mathbb{F}$ set of \mathfrak{N}

Example 4.3. Let $\mathfrak{N} = \{P_1, P_2, P_3, P_4, P_5, P_6, P_7, P_8\}$ be the universe set and Π be the congruence relation on \mathfrak{N} . The equivalence classes of \mathfrak{N} are defined by

$$\mathfrak{N}/\Pi = \{\{P_1, P_4\}, \{P_2, P_3, P_6\}, \{P_5\}, \{P_7, P_8\}\}$$

Let \mathcal{E} be the $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{F}$ set of \mathfrak{N} defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_m(x) = \{P_1 = 0.2$$

$$P_2 = 0.5$$

$$P_3 = P_8 = 0.3$$

$$P_4 = P_6 = 0.3$$

$$P_5 = P_7 = 0.4$$

$$\mathcal{E}_n(x) = \{P_1 = 0.2$$

$$P_2 = P_6 = P_8 = 0.4$$

$$P_3 = 0.2$$

$$P_4 = 0.3$$

$$P_5 = 0.5$$

$$P_7 = 0.7$$

$$\mathcal{E}_h(x) = \{P_1 = P_3 = 0.4$$

$$P_2 = 0.7$$

$$P_4 = 0.2$$

$$P_5 = P_7 = 0.5$$

$$P_6 = 0.3$$

$$P_8 = 0.8$$

Then the lower approximation of \mathcal{E} for all $x \in \mathfrak{N}$ is given by

$$\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m) = \{P_1 = P_4 = 0.2$$

$$P_5 = 0.4$$

$$P_2 = P_3 = P_6 = P_7 = P_8 = 0.3$$

$$\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_n) = \{P_1 = P_4 = 0.1$$

$$P_2 = P_3 = P_6 = 0.2$$

$$P_5 = 0.5$$

$$P_7 = P_8 = 0.4$$

$$\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_h) = \{P_1 = P_4 = 0.4$$

$$P_2 = P_3 = P_6 = 0.7$$

$$P_5 = 0.5$$

$$P_7 = P_8 = 0.8$$

Then the upper approximation of \mathcal{E} for all $x \in \mathfrak{N}$ is given by

$$\Pi^+(\mathcal{E}_m) = \{P_1 = P_2 = P_3 = P_4 = P_6 = 0.6$$

$$P_5 = P_7 = P_8 = 0.4$$

$$\Pi^+(\mathcal{E}_n) = \{P_1 = P_4 = 0.3$$

$$P_2 = P_3 = P_6 = 0.4$$

$$P_5 = 0.5$$

$$P_7 = P_8 = 0.7$$

$$\Pi^+(\mathcal{E}_h) = \{P_1 = P_4 = 0.2$$

$$P_2 = P_3 = P_6 = 0.3$$

$$P_5 = P_7 = P_8 = 0.5$$

Then $\Pi(\mathcal{E}) = (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}), \Pi^+(\mathcal{E}))$ is a $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{S}\mathbb{F}$ set of \mathfrak{N}

Definition 4.4. A $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{F}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{I}$ of \mathfrak{N} is said to be a $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{S}\mathbb{F}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{I}$ of \mathfrak{N} if it is both Π -lower $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{S}\mathbb{F}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{I}$ and Π -upper $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{S}\mathbb{F}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{I}$ of \mathfrak{N} .

A $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{F}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{I}$ of \mathfrak{N} is called a Π -lower (upper) $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{S}\mathbb{F}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{I}$ of \mathfrak{N} if its lower(upper) approximation is $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{F}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{I}$ of \mathfrak{N} .

Example 4.5. Let $\mathfrak{N} = \{z_0, z_1, z_2\}$ be a near-ring with the following multiplication table.

Table 3

+	z_0	z_1	z_2
z_0	z_0	z_1	z_2
z_1	z_1	z_2	z_0
z_2	z_2	z_1	z_0

Table 4

.	z_0	z_1	z_2
z_0	z_0	z_0	z_0
z_1	z_0	z_1	z_2
z_2	z_0	z_2	z_1

Let Υ be the congruence relation on \mathfrak{N} . The equivalence classes of \mathfrak{N} are defined by

$$\mathfrak{N}/\Upsilon = \{\{z_0\}, \{z_1, z_2\}\}$$

Let \mathcal{E} be a $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{F}$ set of \mathfrak{N} defined by

$$\mathcal{E}_m(z_0) = \mathcal{E}_m(z_1) = \mathcal{E}_m(z_2) = 0.2$$

$$\mathcal{E}_n(z_0) = 0.5, \mathcal{E}_n(z_1) = \mathcal{E}_n(z_2) = 0.4$$

$$\mathcal{E}_h(z_1) = 0.5, \mathcal{E}_h(z_0) = \mathcal{E}_h(z_2) = 0.3$$

Then the lower approximation of \mathcal{E} is

$$\underline{\Pi}(\mathcal{E}_m)(z_0) = \underline{\Pi}(\mathcal{E}_m)(z_1) = \underline{\Pi}(\mathcal{E}_m)(z_2) = 0.2$$

$$\underline{\Pi}(\mathcal{E}_n)(z_0) = 0.5, \underline{\Pi}(\mathcal{E}_n)(z_1) = \underline{\Pi}(\mathcal{E}_n)(z_2) = 0.4$$

$$\underline{\Pi}(\mathcal{E}_h)(z_1) = 0.3, \underline{\Pi}(\mathcal{E}_h)(z_0) = \underline{\Pi}(\mathcal{E}_h)(z_2) = 0.5$$

Then the upper approximation of \mathcal{E} is

$$\overline{\Pi}(\mathcal{E}_m)(z_0) = \overline{\Pi}(\mathcal{E}_m)(z_1) = \overline{\Pi}(\mathcal{E}_m)(z_2) = 0.2$$

$$\overline{\Pi}(\mathcal{E}_n)(z_0) = 0.5, \overline{\Pi}(\mathcal{E}_n)(z_1) = \overline{\Pi}(\mathcal{E}_n)(z_2) = 0.4$$

$$\overline{\Pi}(\mathcal{E}_h)(z_0) = \overline{\Pi}(\mathcal{E}_h)(z_1) = \overline{\Pi}(\mathcal{E}_h)(z_2) = 0.3$$

then $\underline{\Pi}(\mathcal{E})$ and $\overline{\Pi}(\mathcal{E})$ are $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{F}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{I}$ of \mathfrak{N} . hence \mathcal{E} is a $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{S}\mathbb{F}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{I}$ of \mathfrak{N}

Theorem 4.6. Let \mathcal{E} be a $\mathbb{S}\mathbb{F}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{I}$ of \mathfrak{N} . Then \mathcal{E} be a $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{S}\mathbb{F}\mathbb{B}\mathbb{I}$ of \mathfrak{N}

Proof : Assume that \mathcal{E} be a $\mathbb{S}FBI$ of \mathfrak{N} . we want to prove \mathcal{E} is $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{S}FBI$. For that we have to prove

$\Pi^-(\mathcal{E})$ and $\Pi^+(\mathcal{E})$ are $\mathbb{S}FBI$ of \mathfrak{N} . Let $i, j, p \in \mathfrak{N}$

Then we consider

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m)(i-j) &= \bigwedge_{s \in [i-j]_{\Pi}} \mathcal{E}_m(s) \\ &= \bigwedge_{s \in [i]_{\Pi} + [-j]_{\Pi}} \mathcal{E}_m(s) \\ &= \bigwedge_{k-q \in [i]_{\Pi} + [-j]_{\Pi}} \mathcal{E}_m(k-q) \\ &= \bigwedge_{k \in [i]_{\Pi}, q \in [-j]_{\Pi}} \mathcal{E}_m(k) \wedge \mathcal{E}_m(q) \\ &= (\bigwedge_{k \in [i]_{\Pi}} \mathcal{E}_m(k)) \wedge (\bigwedge_{q \in [-j]_{\Pi}} \mathcal{E}_m(q)) \\ &= \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m)(i) \wedge \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m)(-j) \\ &\geq \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m)(i) \wedge \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m)(j) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m)(ijk) &= \bigwedge_{s \in [ijk]_{\Pi}} \mathcal{E}_m(s) \\ &= \bigwedge_{s \in [i]_{\Pi} [j]_{\Pi} [k]_{\Pi}} \mathcal{E}_m(s) \\ &= \bigwedge_{pqr \in [i]_{\Pi} [j]_{\Pi} [k]_{\Pi}} \mathcal{E}_m(pqr) \\ &\geq \bigwedge_{p \in [i]_{\Pi}, r \in [k]_{\Pi}} \min \{ \mathcal{E}_m(p), \mathcal{E}_m(r) \} \\ &= \min \{ \bigwedge_{p \in [i]_{\Pi}} \mathcal{E}_m(p), \bigwedge_{r \in [k]_{\Pi}} \mathcal{E}_m(r) \} \\ &\quad \min \{ \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m)(i), \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m)(k) \} \end{aligned}$$

similarly we can prove the other case

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_n)(i-j) &\geq \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_n)(i) \wedge \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_n)(j) \\ \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_n)(ijk) &\geq \min \{ \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_n)(i), \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_n)(k) \} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_h)(i-j) &\geq \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_h)(i) \wedge \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_h)(j) \\ \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_h)(ijk) &\geq \min \{ \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_h)(i), \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_h)(k) \} \end{aligned}$$

Hence $\Pi^-(\mathcal{E})$ is $\mathbb{S}FBI$ of \mathfrak{N} . consequently we can prove $\Pi^+(\mathcal{E})$ is $\mathbb{S}FBI$ of \mathfrak{N} . Thus \mathcal{E} is a $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{S}FBI$ of \mathfrak{N} .

Theorem 4.7. If \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} are $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{S}FBI$ of \mathfrak{N} . Then $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{F}$ is $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{S}FBI$ of \mathfrak{N} .

Proof. Since \mathcal{E} and \mathcal{F} are $\mathbb{R}\mathbb{S}FBI$ of \mathfrak{N} . Then for all $i, j \in \mathfrak{N}$ we consider

$$\begin{aligned} (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m) \cap \Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_m))(i-j) &= (\Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_m))(i-j) \wedge (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m)(i-j)) \\ &\geq (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m)(i) \wedge \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m)(j)) \wedge (\Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_m)(i) \wedge \Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_m)(j)) \\ &= (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m)(i) \wedge \Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_m)(i)) \wedge (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m)(j) \wedge \Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_m)(j)) \\ &\geq (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m) \cap \Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_m))(i) \wedge (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m) \cap \Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_m))(j) \end{aligned}$$

Moreover

$$\begin{aligned} (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m) \cap \Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_m))(ijk) &= (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m))(ijk) \wedge (\Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_m)(ijk)) \\ &\geq (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m)(i) \wedge \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m)(k)) \wedge (\Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_m)(i) \wedge \Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_m)(k)) \\ &\geq (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m) \cap \Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_m))(i) \wedge (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m) \cap \Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_m))(k) \end{aligned}$$

For nonmembership function

$$(\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_n) \cap \Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_n))(i-j)$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &= (\Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_n))(i-j) \wedge (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_n)(i-j)) \\
 \geq & (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_n)(i) \wedge \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_n)(j)) \wedge (\Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_n)(i) \wedge \Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_n)(j)) \\
 &= (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_n)(i) \wedge \Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_n)(i)) \wedge (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_n)(j) \wedge \Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_n)(j)) \\
 &\geq (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_n) \cap \mathcal{F}_n)(i) \wedge (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_n) \cap \mathcal{F}_n)(j)
 \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_n) \cap \Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_n))(ijk) \\
 &= (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_n))(ijk) \wedge (\Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_n))(ijk) \\
 \geq & (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_n)(i) \wedge \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_n)(k)) \wedge (\Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_n)(i) \wedge \Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_n)(k)) \\
 &\geq (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_n) \cap \mathcal{F}_n)(i) \wedge (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_n) \cap \mathcal{F}_n)(k)
 \end{aligned}$$

Finally for hesitancy function

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_h) \cap \Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_h))(i-j) \\
 &= (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_h))(i-j) \wedge (\Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_h)(i-j)) \\
 \geq & (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_h)(i) \vee \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_h)(j)) \wedge (\Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_h)(i) \vee \Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_h)(j)) \\
 &= (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_h)(i) \wedge \Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_h)(i)) \vee (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_h)(j) \wedge \Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_h)(j)) \\
 &\geq (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_h) \cap \mathcal{F}_h)(i) \vee (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_h) \cap \mathcal{F}_h)(j)
 \end{aligned}$$

Also

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_h) \cap \Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_h))(ijk) \\
 &= (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_h))(ijk) \wedge (\Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_h))(ijk) \\
 \geq & (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_h)(i) \vee \Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_h)(k)) \wedge (\Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_h)(i) \vee \Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_h)(k)) \\
 \geq & (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_h) \cap \mathcal{F}_h)(i) \vee (\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_h) \cap \mathcal{F}_h)(k)
 \end{aligned}$$

Therefore $\Pi^-(\mathcal{E}_m) \cap \Pi^-(\mathcal{F}_m)$ is SFBI of \mathfrak{N} . Similarly we can prove for $\Pi^+(\mathcal{E}_m) \cap \Pi^+(\mathcal{F}_m)$. Consequently

we prove for the remaining cases. Hence $\mathcal{E} \cap \mathcal{F}$ is RSFBI of \mathfrak{N} .

5. CONCLUSION

Spherical fuzzy sets are an attempt to provide a general view to three dimensional fuzzy sets. To investigate the structure of an algebraic system, we see that the spherical fuzzy ideals on near ring with special properties always play a central role. The purpose of this paper is to initiated the concept of spherical fuzzy bi-ideals and rough spherical fuzzy bi-ideals on near rings. Some characterizations of rough spherical fuzzy bi-ideals are obtained on near ring. Our future work is to extend this idea to other algebraic domain such as semi hyper near ring, semigroup etc.

6. REFERENCES

- [1] Attanassov. K, Intuitionistic fuzzy sets, Fuzzy sets and system, 20 (1986) 87-96.
- [2] Cuong BC (2014) Picture fuzzy sets. J Comput Sci Cybernetics 30(4):409–420.
- [3] Dubois D and Prade H, Rough fuzzy sets and fuzzy rough sets, International Journal of General

Systems, 17, (1990), 191-209.

[4] Davvaz. B, roughness in rings, Information Sciences, 164(2004),147-163.

[5] Gundogdu. F.K. and Kahraman. C, Properties and Arithmetic Operations of spherical fuzzy subsets, Studies in Fuzziness and Soft Computing, (2018), 3–25.

[6] Gundogdu. F.K. and Kahraman. C (2019a) A novel spherical fuzzy analytic hierarchy process and its renewable energy application. Soft Comput.

[7] Kazanci.O and Davvaz. B On the structure of rough prime (primary) ideals and rough fuzzy prime (primary)ideals in commutative rings , Information Sciences, 178(5), (2008), 1343-1354.

[8] Kuroki. N, Rough ideals in semigroups, Information Sciences, 100(1-4), (1997), 139-163.

[9] Pawlak. Z, Rough sets, Int.J.Inform.comput science, 11(1982), 341-356.

[10] Smarandache. F, A Unifying Field in Logics. ProQuest, Ann Arbor, Michigan, USA. 1998, 1-141.

[11] Shahzaib Ashraf, Saleem Abdullah, Muhammad Aslam, Muhammad Qiyas and Marwan A. Kutbi, Spherical fuzzy sets and its representation of spherical fuzzy t-norms and t-conorms, Journal of Inteligent and Fuzzy sytems, 36(2019)6089-6102

[12] Torra V (2010) Hesitant fuzzy sets. Int J Intell Syst 25(6):529–539

[13] Yager RR (2013) Pythagorean fuzzy subsets. In: 2013 joint IFSA world congress and NAFIPSannualmeeting (IFSA/NAFIPS). IEEE, pp 57–61

[14] Zadeh. L. A, Fuzzy sets. Inform and control. 8 (1965) 338-353.

[15] Zadeh. L. A (1975) The concept of a linguistic variable and its application to approximate reasoning. Inf Sci 8(3):199–249