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#### Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to use auxiliary data to estimate the population variance of the study variable. A estimator for estimating population variance has been proposed to the first order of approximation and bias and mean squared error are produced. The efficiency of the suggested estimator is proven to be higher than that of the usual unbiased estimator. The present study is supported by an empirical study also.
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## 1. Introduction

It is commonly known fact that using auxiliary data in sample surveys significantly improves the accuracy of estimators of population parameters like mean, variance and coefficient of variation of the study variable. When auxiliary information is available, researchers want to use it in the estimation process to provide improved estimator of the parameter under study. Das et al (1978), Isaki (1983), Kadilar C. and Cingi H. (2007), Yadav and Kadilar (2013) and Singh, H. P. et al.(2014) Misra and Singh (2014), Misra (2015) and many others have examined the issue of estimating the population variance.
Let,

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{Y}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} Y_{i} \quad, \quad \bar{X}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N} X_{i} \\
& \mu_{r s}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)^{r}\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{s}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \sigma_{Y}^{2}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right)^{2}, C_{Y}=\frac{\sigma_{Y}}{\bar{Y}}, C_{X}=\frac{\sigma_{X}}{\bar{X}} \text { and } \\
& \sigma_{X Y}=\frac{1}{N} \sum_{i=1}^{N}\left(X_{i}-\bar{X}\right)\left(Y_{i}-\bar{Y}\right) \text { Also } \rho=\frac{\rho_{X Y}}{\sigma_{X} \sigma_{Y}}, B=\frac{\sigma_{x y}}{\sigma_{x}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

Also, let

$$
\bar{y}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} y_{i}, \quad \bar{x}=\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
& s_{y}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right)^{2}, \quad s_{x}^{2}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)^{2} \\
& s_{x y}=\frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^{n}\left(x_{i}-\bar{x}\right)\left(y_{i}-\bar{y}\right), \quad b=\frac{S_{x y}}{S_{x}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

where $\mathrm{y}_{1}, \mathrm{y}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{y}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are the observations on y and $\mathrm{x}_{1}, \mathrm{x}_{2}, \ldots \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{n}}$ are the observations on auxiliary variable $x$ for a simple random sample of size $n$.

For estimating population variance, An improved estimator is proposed as

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{Y}^{2}=\hat{\sigma}_{Y}^{2}=s_{Y}^{2} \exp \left\{k_{1}\left(\frac{s_{x}^{2}}{C_{X}^{2}}-\bar{x}^{2}\right)\right\} \exp \left\{k_{2}\left(\frac{s_{y}^{2}}{C_{Y}^{2}}-\bar{y}^{2}\right)\right\} \tag{1.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

## 2. Bias and Mean Square Error

Let, $\bar{y}=\bar{Y}\left(1+e_{0}\right)$
$\bar{x}=\bar{X}\left(1+e_{1}\right)$
$s_{y}^{2}=\sigma_{y}^{2}\left(1+e_{2}\right)$
$s_{x}^{2}=\sigma_{x}^{2}\left(1+e_{3}\right)$
$s_{x y}=\sigma_{X Y}\left(1+e_{4}\right)$
So that $E\left(e_{0}\right)=E\left(e_{1}\right)=E\left(e_{2}\right)=E\left(e_{3}\right)=0$
$E\left(e_{0}^{2}\right)=\frac{C_{Y}^{2}}{n}, E\left(e_{1}^{2}\right)=\frac{C_{X}^{2}}{n}$
$E\left(e_{2}^{2}\right)=\frac{\beta_{2}(y)-1}{n}=\frac{A_{Y}}{n}$, where $A_{Y}=\beta_{2}(y)-1(2.3)$
$E\left(e_{0} e_{1}\right)=\frac{\rho c_{X} C_{Y}}{n}$
$E\left(e_{0} e_{2}\right)=\frac{\mu_{03}}{n \sigma_{Y}^{2} \bar{Y}}$ and $E\left(e_{1} e_{2}\right)=\frac{\mu_{12}}{n \sigma_{Y}^{2} \bar{X}}$
$E\left(e_{1} e_{3}\right)=\frac{\mu_{30}}{n \sigma_{X}^{2} \bar{X}}$
$E\left(e_{3}^{2}\right)=\frac{\beta_{2}(X)-1}{n}=\frac{A_{X}}{n}$, where $A_{X}=\beta_{2}(x)-1$
$E\left(e_{0} e_{3}\right)=\frac{\mu_{21}}{n \sigma_{X}^{2} \bar{Y}}$
$E\left(e_{2} e_{3}\right)=\frac{1}{n \sigma_{X}^{2} \sigma_{Y}^{2}}\left(\mu_{22}-\mu_{20} \mu_{02}\right)=\frac{\delta-1}{n}$, Where $\delta=\frac{\mu_{22}}{\mu_{20} \mu_{02}}$
Now Expression (1) in terms of $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{i}}$ 's, we have

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\sigma}_{Y}^{2}=\sigma_{Y}^{2}\left(1+e_{2}\right) \exp \left[k_{1}\left\{\frac{\sigma_{X}^{2}\left(1+e_{3}\right)}{\left(\frac{\sigma_{X}^{2}}{\bar{X}^{2}}\right)}-\bar{X}^{2}\left(1+e_{1}\right)^{2}\right\}\right] \exp \left[k_{2}\left\{\frac{\sigma_{Y}^{2}\left(1+e_{2}\right)}{\left(\frac{\sigma_{Y}^{2}}{\bar{Y}^{2}}\right)}-\bar{Y}^{2}\left(1+e_{0}\right)^{2}\right\}\right] . \tag{2.10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Or

$$
\hat{\sigma}_{Y}^{2}=\left(\sigma_{Y}^{2}+\sigma_{Y}^{2} e_{2}\right) \exp \left\{k_{1} \bar{X}^{2}\left(1+e_{3}-1-e_{1}^{2}-2 e_{1}\right)\right\} \exp \left\{k_{2} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(1+e_{2}-1-e_{0}^{2}-2 e_{0}\right)\right\}
$$

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\sigma}_{Y}^{2}=\left(\sigma_{Y}^{2}+\sigma_{Y}^{2} e_{2}\right) \exp \left\{k_{1} \bar{X}^{2}\left(e_{3}-2 e_{1}-e_{1}^{2}\right)\right\} \exp \left\{k_{2} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(e_{2}-2 e_{0}-e_{0}^{2}\right)\right\} \tag{2.11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Or

$$
\begin{align*}
& \hat{\sigma}_{Y}^{2}=\left(\sigma_{Y}^{2}+\sigma_{Y}^{2} e_{2}\right)\left\{1+k_{1} \bar{X}^{2}\left(e_{3}-2 e_{1}-e_{1}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} k_{1}^{2} \bar{X}^{4}\left(e_{3}^{2}+4 e_{1}^{2}-4 e_{1} e_{3}\right)\right\} \\
&\left\{1+k_{2} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(e_{2}-2 e_{0}-e_{0}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} k_{2}^{2} \bar{Y}^{4}\left(e_{2}^{2}+4 e_{0}^{2}-4 e_{0} e_{2}\right)\right\} \tag{2.12}
\end{align*}
$$

Or

$$
\begin{aligned}
\hat{\sigma}_{Y}^{2}=\left(\sigma_{Y}^{2}+\right. & \left.\sigma_{Y}^{2} e_{2}\right)\left\{1+k_{2} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(e_{2}-2 e_{0}-e_{0}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} k_{2}^{2} \bar{Y}^{4}\left(e_{2}^{2}+4 e_{0}^{2}-4 e_{0} e_{2}\right)\right. \\
& +k_{1} \bar{X}^{2}\left(e_{3}-2 e_{1}-e_{1}^{2}\right)+k_{1} k_{2} \bar{X}^{2} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(e_{2} e_{3}-2 e_{0} e_{3}-2 e_{1} e_{2}+4 e_{0} e_{1}\right) \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} k_{1}^{2} \bar{X}^{4}\left(e_{3}^{2}+4 e_{1}^{2}-4 e_{1} e_{3}\right)\right\} \\
\hat{\sigma}_{Y}^{2}=\left(\sigma_{Y}^{2}+\right. & \left.\sigma_{Y}^{2} e_{2}\right)\left\{1+k_{1} \bar{X}^{2}\left(e_{3}-2 e_{1}-e_{1}^{2}\right)+k_{2} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(e_{2}-2 e_{0}-e_{0}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} k_{1}^{2} \bar{X}^{4}\left(e_{3}^{2}+4 e_{1}^{2}-4 e_{1} e_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} k_{2}^{2} \bar{Y}^{4}\left(e_{2}^{2}+4 e_{0}^{2}-4 e_{0} e_{2}\right)+k_{1} k_{2} \bar{X}^{2} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(e_{2} e_{3}-2 e_{0} e_{3}-2 e_{1} e_{2}+4 e_{0} e_{1}\right)\right\} \\
\hat{\sigma}_{Y}^{2}=\sigma_{Y}^{2} & +\sigma_{Y}^{2}\left\{k_{1} \bar{X}^{2}\left(e_{3}-2 e_{1}-e_{1}^{2}\right)+k_{2} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(e_{2}-2 e_{0}-e_{0}^{2}\right)+\frac{1}{2} k_{1}^{2} \bar{X}^{4}\left(e_{3}^{2}+4 e_{1}^{2}-4 e_{1} e_{3}\right)\right. \\
& \left.+\frac{1}{2} k_{2}^{2} \bar{Y}^{4}\left(e_{2}^{2}+4 e_{0}^{2}-4 e_{0} e_{2}\right)+k_{1} k_{2} \bar{X}^{2} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(e_{2} e_{3}-2 e_{0} e_{3}-2 e_{1} e_{2}+4 e_{0} e_{1}\right)\right\}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
& \quad+\sigma_{Y}^{2} e_{2}+\sigma_{Y}^{2}\left\{k_{1} \bar{X}^{2}\left(e_{2} e_{3}-2 e_{1} e_{2}\right)+k_{2} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(e_{2}^{2}-2 e_{0} e_{2}\right)\right\} \\
& \hat{\sigma}_{Y}^{2}-\sigma_{Y}^{2}=\sigma_{Y}^{2}\left(e_{2}+\bar{X}^{2} k_{1} e_{3}-2 \bar{X}^{2} k_{1} e_{1}+\bar{Y}^{2} k_{2} e_{2}-2 \bar{Y}^{2} k_{2} e_{0}\right) \\
& +\frac{1}{2} \sigma_{Y}^{2}\left\{k_{1}^{2} \bar{X}^{4}\left(e_{3}^{2}+4 e_{1}^{2}-4 e_{1} e_{3}\right)+k_{2}^{2} \bar{Y}^{4}\left(e_{2}^{2}+4 e_{0}^{2}-4 e_{0} e_{2}\right)-2 k_{1} \bar{X}^{2}\left(e_{1}^{2}-e_{2} e_{3}+2 e_{1} e_{2}\right)\right. \\
& \left.-2 k_{2} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(e_{0}^{2}+2 e_{0} e_{2}-e_{2}^{2}\right)+2 k_{1} k_{2} \bar{X}^{2} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(e_{2} e_{3}-2 e_{0} e_{3}-2 e_{1} e_{2}+4 e_{0} e_{1}\right)\right\} \tag{2.13}
\end{align*}
$$

In the first order of approximation, the bias $\hat{\sigma}_{Y}^{2}$ is given by considering the expectation on both sides of (2.1).

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\operatorname{Bias}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{Y}^{2}\right)= & E\left(\hat{\sigma}_{Y}^{2}-\sigma_{Y}^{2}\right) \\
\operatorname{Bias}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{Y}^{2}\right)= & \frac{\sigma_{Y}^{2}}{2}
\end{array} k_{1}^{2} \bar{X}^{4}\left\{\frac{A_{X}}{n}+4 \frac{C_{X}^{2}}{n}-4 \frac{\mu_{30}}{n \sigma_{X}^{2} \bar{X}}\right\}+k_{2}^{2} \bar{Y}^{4}\left\{\frac{A_{Y}}{n}+4 \frac{C_{Y}^{2}}{n}-4 \frac{\mu_{03}}{n \sigma_{Y}^{2} \bar{Y}}\right\}\right), ~\left(2 k_{1} \bar{X}^{2}\left\{\frac{C_{X}^{2}}{n}-\frac{(\delta-1)}{n}+2 \frac{\mu_{12}}{n \sigma_{Y}^{2} \bar{X}}\right\}-2 k_{2} \bar{Y}^{2}\left\{\frac{C_{Y}^{2}}{n}+2 \frac{\mu_{03}}{n \sigma_{Y}^{2} \bar{Y}}-\frac{A_{Y}}{n}\right\}\right)
$$

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{Bias}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{Y}^{2}\right)= & \frac{\sigma_{Y}^{2}}{2 n} k_{1}^{2} \bar{X}^{4}\left(A_{X}+4 C_{X}^{2}-4 \frac{\mu_{30}}{\bar{X} \sigma_{X}^{2}}\right)+k_{2}^{2} \bar{Y}^{4}\left(A_{Y}+4 C_{Y}^{2}-4 \frac{\mu_{03}}{\bar{Y} \sigma_{Y}^{2}}\right) \\
& -2 k_{1} \bar{X}^{2}\left\{C_{X}^{2}+2 \frac{\mu_{12}}{\bar{X} \sigma_{Y}^{2}}-(\delta-1)\right\}-2 k_{2} \bar{Y}^{2}\left\{C_{Y}^{2}+2 \frac{\mu_{03}}{\bar{Y} \sigma_{Y}^{2}}-A_{Y}\right\} \\
& +2 k_{1} k_{2} \bar{X}^{2} \bar{Y}^{2}\left\{(\delta-1)-2 \frac{\mu_{21}}{\bar{Y} \sigma_{X}^{2}}-2 \frac{\mu_{12}}{\bar{X} \sigma_{Y}^{2}}+4 \rho C_{X} C_{Y}\right\} \tag{2.14}
\end{align*}
$$

squaring (2.13) on both sides and then taking expectation, we have m.s.e to the first order of approximation is :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{Y}^{2}\right)=E\left(\hat{\sigma}_{Y}^{2}-\sigma_{Y}^{2}\right)^{2} \\
& =E\left\{\sigma_{Y}^{2}\left(e_{2}+\bar{X}^{2} k_{1} e_{3}-2 \bar{X}^{2} k_{1} e_{1}+\bar{Y}^{2} k_{2} e_{2}-2 \bar{Y}^{2} k_{2} e_{0}\right)\right\}^{2}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\begin{aligned}
=E \mid \sigma_{Y}^{4}\left\{e_{2}^{2}+\right. & k_{1}^{2} \bar{X}^{4}\left(e_{3}^{2}+4 e_{1}^{2}-4 e_{1} e_{3}\right)+k_{2}^{2} \bar{Y}^{4}\left(e_{2}^{2}+4 e_{0}^{2}-4 e_{1} e_{2}\right)+2 \bar{X}^{2} k_{1}\left(e_{2} e_{3}-2 e_{1} e_{2}\right) \\
& \left.+2 \bar{Y}^{2} k_{2}\left(e_{2}^{2}-2 e_{0} e_{2}\right)+2 k_{1} k_{2} \bar{X}^{2} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(e_{2} e_{3}-2 e_{0} e_{3}-2 e_{1} e_{2}+4 e_{0} e_{1}\right)\right\} \\
=\sigma_{Y}^{4} E\left(e_{2}^{2}\right) & +\sigma_{Y}^{4}\left[\bar{X}^{4} k_{1}^{2} E\left(e_{3}^{2}+4 e_{1}^{2}-4 e_{1} e_{3}\right)+\bar{Y}^{4} k_{2}^{2} E\left(e_{2}^{2}+4 e_{0}^{2}-4 e_{1} e_{2}\right)\right. \\
& +2 \bar{X}^{2} k E\left(e_{2} e_{3}-2 e_{1} e_{2}\right)+2 \bar{Y}^{2} k_{2} E\left(e_{2}^{2}-2 e_{0} e_{2}\right) \\
& +2 k_{1} k_{2} \bar{X}^{2} \bar{Y}^{2} E\left(e_{2} e_{3}-2 e_{0} e_{3}-2 e_{1} e_{2}+4 e_{0} e_{1}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

or,

$$
\begin{align*}
\operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{Y}^{2}\right)= & \sigma_{Y}^{4} \frac{A_{Y}}{n}+\sigma_{Y}^{4}\left[\bar{X}^{4} k_{1}^{2}\left(\frac{A_{X}}{n}+4 \frac{C_{X}^{2}}{n}-4 \frac{\mu_{30}}{n \sigma_{X}^{2} \bar{X}}\right)+\bar{Y}^{4} k_{2}^{2}\left(\frac{A_{Y}}{n}+4 \frac{C_{Y}^{2}}{n}-4 \frac{\mu_{03}}{n \sigma_{Y}^{2} \bar{Y}}\right)\right. \\
& -2 \bar{X}^{2} k_{1}\left(\frac{(\delta-1)}{n}+2 \frac{\mu_{12}}{n \sigma_{Y}^{2} \bar{X}}\right)+2 \bar{Y}^{2} k_{2}\left(\frac{A_{Y}}{n}-2 \frac{\mu_{03}}{n \sigma_{Y}^{2} \bar{Y}}\right) \\
& \left.2 k_{1} k_{2} \bar{X}^{2} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(\frac{(\delta-1)}{n}-2 \frac{\mu_{21}}{n \sigma_{X}^{2} \bar{Y}}-2 \frac{\mu_{12}}{n \sigma_{Y}^{2} \bar{X}}+4 \frac{\rho C_{X} C_{Y}}{n}\right)\right] \tag{2.15}
\end{align*}
$$

or

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{MSE}\left(\hat{\sigma}_{Y}^{2}\right)=\sigma_{Y}^{4} \frac{A_{Y}}{n}+\sigma_{Y}^{4}\left[k_{1}^{2} \delta_{11}+k_{2}^{2} \delta_{22}+2 k_{1} \delta_{10}+2 k_{2} \delta_{02}-2 k_{1} k_{2} \delta_{12}\right] \tag{2.16}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \delta_{11}=\bar{X}^{4}\left(\frac{A_{X}}{n}+4 \frac{C_{X}^{2}}{n}-4 \frac{\mu_{30}}{n \sigma_{X}^{2} \bar{X}}\right), \\
& \delta_{22}=\bar{Y}^{4}\left(\frac{A_{Y}}{n}+4 \frac{C_{Y}^{2}}{n}-4 \frac{\mu_{03}}{n \sigma_{Y}^{2} \bar{Y}}\right) \\
& \delta_{10}=\bar{X}^{2}\left(\frac{(\delta-1)}{n}+2 \frac{\mu_{12}}{n \sigma_{Y}^{2} \bar{X}}\right), \\
& \delta_{02}=\bar{Y}^{2}\left(\frac{A_{Y}}{n}-2 \frac{\mu_{03}}{n \sigma_{Y}^{2} \bar{Y}}\right) \\
& \left.\delta_{12}=\bar{X}^{2} \bar{Y}^{2}\left(\frac{(\delta-1)}{n}-2 \frac{\mu_{21}}{n \sigma_{X}^{2} \bar{Y}}-2 \frac{\mu_{12}}{n \sigma_{Y}^{2} \bar{X}}+4 \frac{\rho C_{X} C_{Y}}{n}\right)\right]
\end{aligned}
$$

The two normal equations for $\mathrm{k}_{1} \& \mathrm{k}_{2}$ is as follows
$\delta_{11} k_{1}+\delta_{12} k_{2}+\delta_{10}=0$
(2.17)
$\delta_{12} k_{1}+\delta_{22} k_{2}+\delta_{02}=0$
on solving the two normal equations, we have
$k_{1}=\frac{\delta_{22} \delta_{10}-\delta_{02} \delta_{12}}{\delta_{12}^{2}-\delta_{11} \delta_{22}}$
and

$$
k_{2}=\frac{\delta_{11} \delta_{02}-\delta_{12} \delta_{10}}{\delta_{12}^{2}-\delta_{11} \delta_{22}}
$$

For these optimum values of $\mathrm{k}_{1} \& \mathrm{k}_{2}$, the minimum mse from (2.14) is given by
$\operatorname{MSE}\left(s_{Y}^{2}\right) \min =\sigma_{Y}^{4} \frac{A_{Y}}{n}-\sigma_{Y}^{4} \frac{\left(\delta_{11} \delta_{02}^{2}+\delta_{22} \delta_{10}^{2}-2 \delta_{02} \delta_{10} \delta_{12}\right)}{\left(\delta_{11} \delta_{22}-\delta_{12}^{2}\right)}$

## 3. Theoretical Comparison

a) We compare the proposed estimator $s_{y}^{2}$ with respect to usual unbiased estimator of population variance $s_{y}^{2}$ and the condition for which the proposed estimator will be efficient is given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{MSE}\left(s_{y}^{2}\right)_{\min }-\operatorname{MSE}\left(s_{y}^{2}\right)<0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

b) We compare the proposed estimator $s_{y}^{2}$ with respect to Misra et al (2017) of population variance $s_{y k}^{2}$ and the condition for which the proposed estimator will be efficient is given by
$2 \gamma_{1} y C_{y}<\gamma_{2 y}+2$

## 4. Empirical Study

For empirical study, we consider the data as
Data given in Cochran (1977, pg. 34) related to the weekly food expenditures of 33 low-income families are used to calculate the suitable values.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& n=33, \\
& \bar{X}=72.54, \\
& \bar{Y}=27.49 \\
& \sigma_{X}^{2}=108.69, \\
& \sigma_{Y}^{2}=99.613033, \\
& C_{y}=0.363036, \\
& C_{X}=0.143719, \\
& \gamma_{1 Y}=1.4651, \\
& \gamma_{2 y}=2.7146,
\end{aligned}
$$

MSE's of the estimators
a) $\operatorname{MSE}\left(s_{y}^{2}\right)=1417.63112$

$$
\operatorname{MSE}\left(s_{y}^{2}\right)_{\min }=150.79192
$$

b) $\operatorname{MSE}\left(s_{y k}^{2}\right)=1065.13127$

$$
\operatorname{MSE}\left(s_{y}^{2}\right)_{\min }=150.79192
$$

## 5. Conclusion

The performance of the proposed estimator has been established theoretically and empirically both. To evaluate the effectiveness of the estimators, use the mean squared error criterion. Comparing the suggested estimator to study and compare the standard unbiased estimator for population variance has performed better than in terms of MSE.
The percent relative efficiency (PRE) is $940 \%$ and $710 \%$ above the usual unbiased estimator for population variance and hence the proposed estimator exhibits its improved efficiency.
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